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Background

Children and youth with special health care needs (CYSHCN) are a diverse group of children ranging from children with chronic conditions such as asthma or diabetes, to children with autism, to those with more medically complex health issues such as spina bifida or other congenital disorders, to children with behavioral or emotional conditions. Overall, CYSHCN are defined as children birth to age 21 who have or are at increased risk for a chronic physical, developmental, behavioral, or emotional condition and who also require health and related services of a type or amount beyond that required by children generally. In a recent national survey, children with a chronic condition birth to age 18 represented approximately 15 percent of the entire child population in the United States.

Children and youth with special health care needs and their families typically receive services and supports from multiple systems – health care, public health, education, mental health, social services, and respite to name a few. Within any one of these systems, CYSHCN may be served by multiple providers and community-based systems. There is no doubt that the need for services and supports among CYSHCN presents significant challenges for developing comprehensive systems of care among health care and other child-serving systems.

For more than three decades, numerous national reports, initiatives, and research studies have described or called for frameworks, standards and various measures to advance a comprehensive system of care for CYSHCN and their families. Efforts in the mid-1980s, led by Surgeon General C. Everett Koop, called for a national agenda to "develop strategies for comprehensive services needed by children with special health care needs, address the challenges and burdens of the families of these children, and stimulate community resources." Since that time, the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB) adopted and led federal efforts to focus on the following six system outcome areas tied to national performance measures for CYSHCN: 1) families as partners, 2) medical homes, 3) financing of care for needed services, 4) coordinated services, 5) early and continuous screening, and 6) effective transition to adult health care. Each of these outcome areas also are incorporated as objectives within Healthy People 2020 and the President’s New Freedom Initiative. Process and structural standards and measures relevant to systems of care serving CYSHCN also are included in National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) quality standards for health plans and provider groups, Children’s Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act (CHIPRA) Quality Measures, Title V Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Services Block Grant Performance Measures, and the model Pediatric Managed Care Contracting Purchasing Specifications developed by the George Washington University.

These and other efforts have helped to establish important efforts in states, communities, health plans, provider practices, and other areas to build comprehensive systems of care for CYSHCN. However, they have not resulted in an agreed on, nationally endorsed set of standards that could be used and applied within health care and public health systems and other child-serving systems to improve health care quality and health outcomes for this population of children. Furthermore, many of these frameworks and tools were developed prior to the passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) and other significant health system reforms that are currently underway across the country. Achieving consensus on the necessary capacity and performance of systems serving CYSHCN is essential to achieving comprehensive, quality systems of care for this population of children.
About the Project

The overall goal of phase one of the project, *National Consensus Framework for Improving Quality Systems of Care for Children and Youth with Special Health Care Needs*, is to compile, define, and reach consensus among a diverse group of stakeholders on the necessary process and structural standards for health care systems serving CYSHCN. Creating a comprehensive, quality system of care for CYSHCN has been one of the most challenging areas for state health leaders and other stakeholders such as state Title V maternal and child health programs, pediatricians and family physicians, health plans, state Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) agencies, and families. The ACA has further heightened this need as states prepare to extend coverage to millions of uninsured children and adults, design essential health benefits, and implement new provisions to achieve the “Triple Aim” of: 1) improving the patient experience of care (including quality and satisfaction), 2) improving the health of populations, and 3) reducing or bending the curve of health care costs.¹

The products and efforts from the first phase of this project are the following:

1. This background white paper highlighting findings from the project research
2. Case studies highlighting promising state practices in developing and implementing system standards of care for CYSHCN
3. Convening of a national work group to guide and reach consensus on the desired capacity and performance of systems of care serving CYSHCN
4. Development of a core set of national system standards that was guided, informed, and endorsed by key stakeholders at the national level and state level

This white paper compiles the extant research on desired capacity and performance of systems of care serving CYSHCN, summarizes input from key stakeholders as to the recommended focus of this work, and includes case studies of four sites that are using system standards in their work with children and youth with special health care needs. It was initially prepared as a background paper to serve as a basis for discussion, exchange and consensus on a core set of structure and process standards by the project’s national work group. Further information about the project methodology, including information about the national work group, can be found in the next section. Finally, the structure and process standards that were developed by the project with guidance and input from the national work group are contained in a separate companion document to this background white paper.

**Project Methodology**

The products from this project, particularly the national system standards, were developed using a comprehensive, multipronged approach involving an extensive literature review, key informant interviews, case studies of four sites that are using system standards, and the guidance and input from the national work group of diverse stakeholders. Information about the activities completed to accomplish this work follows.

**Literature Review**

A comprehensive literature review of national reports, standards and frameworks, and research related to the necessary capacity and performance of systems of care serving CYSHCN was conducted. Materials reviewed covered areas including core components (e.g., medical home, care coordination) of systems of care for CYSHCN, health care reform, quality measures, and federal statutory guidance. Findings from the literature review were used to inform the overall approach and implementation of the project, guide selection and questions of key informants, and inform the focus of case studies and content of the system standards.

With regard to system standards, AMCHP synthesized existing standards from the literature and created draft standards in areas where there were no standards but clear consensus on a core system component or issue. The synthesis of this work was summarized and served as the foundation of the national work group discussions, review and development of system standards.

**Key Informant Interviews**

Structured telephone interviews were conducted early in the project with more than 30 national, state, and local stakeholders representing state Title V CYSHCN programs and Medicaid agencies, family advocates, provider groups, health plans, health services researchers, children’s hospitals, federal agencies, and others. The purpose of the interviews was to obtain guidance on the project goals, factors necessary for improving systems of care for CYSHCN in today’s health care environment, the role of system standards, recruitment of work group membership, and considerations for obtaining national consensus on system standards for CYSHCN. The key themes, findings and recommendations from these interviews are included in this white paper and formed the starting point for the national work group efforts (A list of the key informants can be found in Appendix A.)

**Case Studies**

To identify the case study states, AMCHP used a multipronged approach involving a 50-state survey, key informant input, qualitative information gathering and analysis, and phone interviews. First, AMCHP surveyed state Title V CYSHCN directors in the 50 states to identify states and other entities that might be using comprehensive system standards in their state Title V CYSHCN program, Medicaid agency and/or health plan. Second, key informants were asked whether they were aware of any model structure and process standards for CYSHCN that were being used. Ten states and two child-only Medicaid health plans were identified for potential case study: Colorado, Florida, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, the Texas Children’s Health Plan, and the Hospital for Sick Children (HSC) Health Care System in Washington, DC. Each of these 12 sites was recognized as having extensive experience in building quality systems of care for CYSHCN and developing innovative models of care and quality monitoring for children.

AMCHP reviewed information from secondary documents available online and corresponded by e-mail or telephone with Title V, Medicaid, and/or health-plan contacts in each of the 12 selected sites to determine whether the states had developed specific standards for either a statewide system of care for CYSHCN or standards specific to a payer or program serving these children. Four sites from this latter group were selected for the case studies: Iowa, Michigan, Rhode Island, and the Texas Children’s Health Plan serving Houston and Harris County, Texas. Interviews were conducted using a common protocol tailored to each site to capture the following information: 1) development and use of standards, 2) system reforms, 3) standards deemed as essential to the system of care, 4) lessons learned, and 5) plans for the future.

**The National Work Group**

A national work group comprised of approximately 40 leaders in the field of CYSHCN, health systems, and quality improvement was convened in July and November 2013 to discuss the findings from the draft white paper, identify areas in need of further development, discuss key considerations as they relate to the ACA, and reach consensus on the focus and content of system standards. The system standards developed as part of this project were fully vetted by the national work group members during their meetings and in draft documents that were sent to the members for review.
Why Are System Standards Needed?

National recommendations and efforts to build family-centered, comprehensive, coordinated systems of care for CYSHCN, including development of standards, date back more than three decades. In 1982, Surgeon General C. Everett Koop held a *National Workshop on Children with Handicaps and Their Families*. The goals of that national meeting were to: develop strategies for comprehensive services needed by children with special health care needs, address the challenges and burdens of the families of these children, and stimulate community resources. Recommendations resulting from that meeting included: 1) defining the scope of the problem, 2) developing standards, 3) developing systems of care, 4) improving financing of care, 5) incorporating principles of care into training for health professionals, and 6) supporting research. The subsequent national report charged the federal MCHB/HRSA in collaboration with the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), with implementing a Call to Action (See Figure 1).

Since the 1987 Surgeon General’s report, efforts to promote comprehensive systems of care for CYSHCN have been significant. Initiatives and activities to standardize the processes used to implement quality and effective health care for CYSHCN were particularly significant in the 1990s through the mid 2000s. Work led by the MCHB/HRSA in partnership with state Title V CYSHCN programs, and efforts by provider groups (e.g., the American Academy of Pediatrics), families, health services researchers, and other stakeholders has led to important frameworks, a standard definition of CYSHCN, a standard screener for identifying this population in population surveys and clinical settings, pediatric purchasing specifications, and performance measures for the Title V MCH Services Block Grant program, to name a few.

In spite of these important efforts, this project found little literature containing a set of nationally endorsed structure and process standards – standard strategies or processes – that could be used to implement such a system. Confirming the literature review findings, key informants indicated that there were not any meaningful comprehensive standards for systems of care serving CYSHCN at the national level. Results from the state survey, which were confirmed by the key informant interviews and case studies, found that there are state-level standards for programs and providers serving CYSHCN. However, these standards are either specific to state Title V CYSHCN programs, focused on contracting, or specific to one component of the system such as care coordination or medical home (e.g., the standards developed by the National Committee for Quality Assurance). In addition, some state standards such as the Rhode Island State Medicaid Agency managed care plan specifications specifically require provision of certain services and contain access standards for certain subgroups of CYSHCN (e.g., those eligible for Medicaid because they are receiving Supplemental Security Income, in families receiving adoption assistance, or eligible for the Katie Beckett Waiver for Home and Community-based Services). In summary, key informants were not aware of any comprehensive national or statewide system standards for health care and related systems (e.g., Title V) serving CYSHCN and their families.

Moreover, there is little evidence from the literature or key informant interviews that any type of standards or the existing model pediatric purchasing specifications for CYSHCN have been systematically used by states, organized health care delivery systems, or providers in the delivery of services to CYSHCN. Uptake by state Medicaid agencies, health plans, state Title V programs and others in the health care delivery system appears to be varied at best even though many of these CYSHCN frameworks and resources have existed for many years.

The variability among states and related systems can be attributed to several factors, some of which are longstanding issues and others that are the result of the ACA and other system reforms. First, there are no nationally recognized and agreed on set of standards that states can use to transform systems for CYSHCN. Second, many states are focused on what are deemed as more urgent priorities within the health care delivery system. These competing priorities include implementation of the ACA, significant reforms in Medicaid, shifts toward newer health care delivery models such as managed care and Accountable Care Organizations, and a focus on higher-cost populations such as the elderly and adult disabled. State budget pressures may have attenuated additional efforts toward use of specific standards for CYSHCN. Finally, partnerships between state Title V CYSHCN programs and their counterparts in Medicaid agencies, insurance commissions, and health plans – which can help improve systems of care for children including CYSHCN – vary widely by state.
Figure 1. 1987 Surgeon General’s Call for Action: Children and Youth with Special Health Care Needs

The following Call for Action was outlined in a 1987 national report by the Surgeon General of the United States and underscores the importance of systems of care and related standards for children and youth with special health care needs.

“With the collaboration of families, parent coalitions, State agencies, public and private health care providers, community support organizations, and the health care financing sector, we will be able to accomplish our task. Therefore, I am asking:

• **FAMILIES** – to actively participate in caring for their children and to help shape health care policy and programs.

• **STATES** – to implement systems of care which support the strengths and needs of families, to ensure the input of families at all levels of care, and to ensure the adequate preparation of professionals for new collaborative roles.

• **PRIVATE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS** – to develop systems which meet the needs of families and which encourage their independence, by forging strong linkages between primary, secondary, and tertiary levels of care (physicians’ offices, community health services, clinics, community hospitals, health maintenance organizations, children’s hospitals, other teaching hospitals).

• **THE HEALTH CARE FINANCING SECTOR** – to ensure that all children with special health care needs have access to quality health care, and that support services are adequately funded to enable families to care for their children in their own homes and communities.

Improving the financing of care must remain a top priority in our commitment to insurance coverage for all. We applaud Medicaid in the public sector and insurers in the private sector for looking for mechanisms to improve and expand their coverage in cost-effective ways. We ask them to continue and strengthen these efforts.”

What Are the Opportunities and Context for Systems Development?

Three decades ago, one of the concerns in health care systems was the need for sufficient numbers of primary and specialty care providers willing and able to serve children and youth with special health care needs. The vernacular has changed, along with the system, to the need for medical homes that link primary and specialty care in an integrated fashion. So, too, have the health care delivery models changed from fee-for-service models and carve outs to capitated, organized delivery systems primarily in the form of managed care. Today, more than half of all insured children are enrolled in some form of managed care. Underscoring this shift to new models of health care delivery for persons with chronic and disabling conditions, the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) recently produced a white paper outlining a road map for integrating care for persons with Medicare and Medicaid (i.e., dual eligibles).

Managed care may hold promises to improve access and quality of care for enrollees. However, the details of how this system is structured and implemented are important. For example, only 16 of the 36 states with managed care organizations require plans to be accredited. States use a variety of standards to measure network adequacy; many report that Medicaid beneficiaries sometimes face access problems.

National researchers have discussed the need for special language in Medicaid contracts regarding CYSHCN. The diversity of health care needs and the population as a whole underscores areas of priority for the care CYSHCN receive in managed care. Those areas range from identifying the population, to defining the scope of benefits and medical necessity, provider capacity, family-centered care utilizing a medical home model, and the need for a variety of safeguards to ensure that these children have access to quality care.

Shifts are also being seen in other public programs that serve this population of children such as the Title V MCH Services Block Grant. Federal statute requires that states devote at least 30 percent of Title V Block Grant funds to programs serving CYSHCN. With the advent of new health care delivery models and the ACA, many state Title V CYSHCN programs are moving away from their more traditional role of providing direct health care services to the provision of wrap-around services and supports, and some payment for services not covered by Medicaid or private insurance, among other activities. Furthermore, some state Title V CYSHCN programs such as Michigan are assuming new roles in standard setting as the CYSHCN served by their program are moved into managed care arrangements (see the Michigan case study in Appendix B).

Today, states and payers are working together building new care models driven by a desire to both contain costs and incentivize quality improvement. Many states and insurers are working collaboratively to establish models that centralize and coordinate care (e.g., medical home), ensure quality, limit costs, and assign accountability for their performance. However, most of these delivery reforms and the standards associated with them primarily concentrate on a model of care for adults with chronic conditions rather than children. As the nation moves toward near universal health care for individuals under the ACA, the opportunity and need to create a set of uniform processes that result in effective and quality health care systems for CYSHCN becomes even more important.

While policymakers and payers are focused on reducing growth in health care expenditures, children are often forgotten because as a group they are not the high-end users of health care. Even the small group of CYSHCN with complex medical conditions who require intensive and costly health care, comprise only a small portion of total national health care expenditures. As one key informant noted, “There is an opportunity in the ACA for the application of the ACO model to pediatric care but the thinkers behind the ACO model are not considering pediatrics nor are the payers and others looking for advantages in terms of pediatric cost control in the ACO model.”

The emphasis today on prevention and health care cost containment offers an important opportunity to focus on CYSHCN as part of ACA implementation and other health reforms. Many key informants noted the potential short- and long-term savings that could be achieved by a system that ensures access and coordination of needed services to children with chronic and complex conditions and their families. Early and periodic primary and secondary preventive services to all CYSHCN also provides an opportunity to achieve costs savings while improving health outcomes for CYSHCN.
Developing Standards for Systems of Care Serving CYSHCN

Key Informant Perspectives: How Standards Can Improve the System of Care for CYSHCN

Key informants were asked about strengths and weaknesses in the current system of care for CYSHCN, strategies to improve the system, and the role of standards. Several key themes emerged from the interviews and are highlighted below.

- **Most key informants acknowledged the need for and potential role of standards to strengthen systems of care for CYSHCN.** Most key informants recognized the importance of standards and were supportive of their development and use. Where key informants diverged on this point was in the areas of: the role of health outcomes and quality improvement in guiding standards development, the fiscal realities faced by health plans and insurers wherein managing the care of higher cost users is a greater priority than adopting standards for CYSHCN, and competing health reform priorities in state Medicaid agencies and health plans.

- **CYSHCN need to be considered in current health service delivery reforms in states.** Key informants uniformly praised the ACA for those provisions that are especially significant for CYSHCN including ending denials of care for preexisting conditions and lifetime caps in private insurance and expanding dependent care coverage to age 26. However, they underscored the need to focus on systems of care for CYSHCN in current system reforms and to put related issues “back on the table.”

- **System standards should promote and foster systems integration within health care delivery systems and other child-serving systems.** Nearly all of the key informants mentioned the important role standards can play in promoting integration among the many systems that serve CYSHCN. They emphasized the need for standards to ensure interagency coordination and integration of services among health care, state Title V CYSHCN programs, Medicaid, CHIP, child mental health service providers, health plans, oral health providers, Early Intervention/Part C, school systems, child welfare agencies, family-to-family health information and advocacy organizations, and other community organizations serving CYSHCN and their families. Integration between primary care and mental health services was viewed as extremely important.

- **Standards should build on existing national frameworks for CYSHCN.** The MCHB six core outcomes for CYSHCN are widely recognized and used by state Title V CYSHCN programs, provider groups, health services researchers and others. Most key informants recommended that national standards build on this framework but drill down to the specific process and structural components necessary to achieve each of these outcomes. Additionally, key informants recommended that the system components be expanded to include other areas such as cultural competence and those issues pertinent to health care service delivery systems. The exception to this finding was noted by health plan and Medicaid agency representatives who were either unaware of the MCHB framework or supportive but acknowledged that they are directed by other priorities for systems development such as health plan certification requirements.

- **Standards for CYSHCN should be aligned with existing adult health care standards.** Key informants stressed the importance of aligning system standards with related efforts to help ensure uptake and use by states (e.g., Title V, Medicaid), health plans and others. Key informants noted that several existing standards for the adult population offer models for standards for CYSHCN, including the NCQA Patient-Centered Medical Home accreditation standards and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Standards of Care for Special Needs Plans serving adults with chronic disease and Medicare/Medicaid dual eligibles (also developed by the NCQA). Although these standards are not focused on children, many health plans are comfortable working with them and they address system issues that are not unique to the adult patient population. In the words of one key informant, “If you give health plans a whole new set of standards not tied to existing standards, it will be harder for them to be implemented.”

- **Focus standards on the system components rather than condition specific issues.** Standards should focus on core components of the system, rather than specific conditions, and should apply to the range of children included in the MCHB definition of CYSHCN. Domains for the development of standards as identified by key informants include the following:
  - **Care coordination and medical home:** The critical and central role of medical home and care coordination for CYSHCN were noted and affirmed by nearly all key informants. Feedback and referral loops between the child’s family, her or his medical home and care coordinator, community-based service delivery systems,
and a team approach that includes the child’s medical and behavioral health specialty providers were cited as a core area in need of focus.

- **Access to care**: Many key informants remain concerned about the availability and capacity of primary care and specialty providers able to meet the needs of CYSHCN particularly in a changing health care environment. Many informants stressed the importance of a standard to monitor access to care.

- **Cultural competence**: Cultural competence is very important if the system is going to be responsive to the needs of its users. It is not explicitly listed as a performance goal for CYSHCN in the set of six MCHB goals; however, its value is implicit throughout the six goals.

- **Family-centered care**: Standards should promote family engagement and partnerships in the design, implementation, and monitoring of systems. Key informants stressed that families should play a central role in the processes of identifying needs, planning care, and coordinating care among other areas.

- **Transition**: Standards addressing transition services should focus on structures and processes to help a youth and their family understand their condition, navigate the system, maximize self-management, and ensure coordination and “hand off” between pediatric and adult medical providers.

- **Information technology and safeguards including Electronic Health Records**: The world of information technology is necessary if information transfer and coordination is to be timely and comprehensive. Safeguards must exist to ensure that technology is appropriate and necessary consents are in place.

- **Standards should address identification and screening of CYSHCN and issues of difficult transitions between coverage types**. The lack of a system for early identification of CYSHCN at the time of enrollment was noted by several key informants as a core weakness of the current health care system. Without a system that identifies children and youth who have special needs on entry into a health care delivery system and related systems to track their care and health care status, other key aspects of care such as triaging, care planning, ensuring timely access to needed care, care coordination, and monitoring of care and outcomes cannot occur effectively. Being able to identify CYSHCN as they lose and gain coverage also was mentioned as very important for plans and providers especially as part of insurance exchange development.

- **Efforts to promote quality measurement and improvement to address health outcomes should be considered in the development of standards**. Key informants from diverse perspectives noted the importance of quality improvement efforts, particularly the Triple Aim, as an important consideration in standards development. As with other areas of health reform, they noted that much of this work is focused on the adult population and that existing child-related quality measures are not comprehensive.

---

Experiences from the States: How Title V, Medicaid & Health Care Plans Are Using Standards for Serving CYSHCN

As part of this project, AMCHP identified examples of promising practices in three states and one large child-only Medicaid health plan. Case studies highlight efforts in three states and one large child-only Medicaid health plan. The focus of these case studies is highlighted below. Full case study descriptions can be found in Appendix B.

- **Iowa.** This case study describes how the Iowa Child Health Specialty Clinics (CHSC), which administer the state Title V CYSHCN program, are using newly developed standards to improve the quality of the CHSC system of care, which includes direct clinical services, family support, care coordination, and infrastructure building.

- **Michigan.** This case study describes how Michigan officials worked closely with families and other stakeholders to develop health plan eligibility criteria and contract standards for Medicaid managed care organizations that began enrolling CYSHCN in 2013. The state goal was to safeguard access to services for approximately 20,000 children receiving Medicaid and enrolled in the Michigan Children’s Special Health Care Service Program.

- **Rhode Island.** Rhode Island has developed a comprehensive system of care for CYSHCN through collaborative partnerships between the state Title V agency, providers, parent/family advocacy organizations, and the Rhode Island Medicaid program (RIte Care). This case study describes the core components of this system and the ways in which standards for CYSHCN are embedded in state contracts with Medicaid managed care organizations.

- **Texas.** The Texas Children’s Health Plan (TCHP), which serves the Houston and Harris County area, features the health plan’s care coordination medical home model. This was implemented with providers serving large numbers of CYSHCN, and its innovative leadership in developing a new statewide, integrated system of care to better serve the needs of children on Medicaid and Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

From the case study research, several cross-cutting themes and lessons learned emerged as important considerations for the development of system standards for CYSHCN.

- **Parent and family engagement and partnerships are critically important.** In each of the case study sites, not only have standards been established recognizing families as core team members in care planning for their children, but parents and families of CYSHCN also have been integrally involved as partners in standards development. Leaders in each of the sites emphasized that parents are very aware of the system issues and care needs that deserve attention. As such, their input has been essential in helping state agencies and health plans develop and prioritize standards. Sites are engaging parents of CYSHCN and parent advocacy organizations in formal advisory capacities to provide feedback and essential accountability for the quality of children’s experiences and outcomes.

- **A Medical home model, including care coordination, should be a core focus of standards for systems of care serving CYSHCN.** The majority of state leaders in the 12 potential case study sites noted that they are providing expanded training and incentives to help pediatric providers work toward the guidelines of the Center for Medical Home Improvement, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services and/or NCQA medical home accreditation standards. Moreover, all four case study sites had developed standards addressing care coordination, care management, parent engagement, collaboration and communication between a child’s primary and specialty providers, and referrals to parent supports and community-based resources for the child and family – all of which are key components of a pediatric medical home. Two states (Minnesota and Oregon) have developed their own medical home standards with some important provisions for children. State and health plan officials in other states emphasized the need for improved national standards and process measures specific to pediatric medical homes as well as better standards to define the components of effective care coordination for CYSHCN.

- **Standards ensuring children’s access to specialists and continuity of care are high priorities for parents of CYSHCN.** Officials in Rhode Island and Michigan – both of which have many different standards for CYSHCN in their Medicaid managed care contracts – explained that when their state was moving CYSHCN from fee-for-service to Medicaid managed care, provider access was one of the greatest concerns for families. Specifically, families voiced concerns about availability and access to the specialists and services needed by their child. To address these concerns, Rhode Island and Michigan Medicaid officials took very similar
approaches. As a first step, they each worked with the Medicaid providers and health plans in their states to ensure that CYSHCN would have access to an adequate network of specialists to meet their needs. They both built protections into relevant sections of their Medicaid managed care contracts to ensure that CYSHCN would have easy access to specialists and that newly enrolled CYSHCN could initially continue to see their previous providers.

- **Implementation of the Affordable Care Act underscores the need for states to safeguard what is working well while continuing to strengthen systems of care for CYSHCN.** Several of the state interviewees recognized that the ACA and health care reform in their state provided an opportunity to improve access to not only insurance coverage for CYSHCN, but also improve quality of care and health outcomes. Others were concerned that the significant focus by the ACA on improving accountability and quality of care for adults would eclipse the ability to build on progress they had already made in improving coordination of care, access to care and quality of care for CYSHCN. One state director expressed concern that the implementation of state health care delivery system reform could even result in the dissolution of the strong system of care that had been providing care coordination and access to services for CYSHCN in their state.

- **Standards are essential for systems improvement but need to be linked to a comprehensive effort to ultimately ensure quality of care for CYSHCN.** During interviews for the case studies, most respondents pointed out that standards could form the baseline for quality assurance but emphasized that standards alone would not be sufficient to improving quality systems of care for CYSHCN. These individuals suggested that the time was ripe for focusing on purposeful engagement of families in the development and monitoring of standards and as part of the care coordination teams for their children, adequate financing of care, inclusion of key benefits in health care coverage, provider training, and a strong quality monitoring system tied to financial incentives for improvement. In the words of one health plan official, “While important, standards – like those in Medicaid contracts – are not the primary driving force for building a quality system of care for children.”
Guidance for Development and Use of National Standards for Systems of Care Serving CYSHCN

Based on the literature review and findings from the key informant interviews, it was determined that structure and process standards – standards that address core components of the structure and process of an effective system for CYSHCN – would be the focus of the standards synthesis and development. The availability of clinical practice level standards is significant and under the purview of national provider groups, federal agencies and commissions, and other such entities. Quality measures and indicators are also critical. However, they are not the focus of this work at this stage of the project.

Key informants and national work group members provided additional guidance with regard to development and use of national standards for CYSHCN, with the following broad recommendations:

- **Focus on the health and health care delivery system.** While nearly all key informants recognized that CYSHCN are served by multiple systems, they urged the project to focus this work on the health and health care service delivery system in order to focus efforts and best achieve national consensus. Other systems can be incorporated at a later stage.

- **Prioritize standards that are actionable and provide value.** Key informants cautioned against developing a ‘laundry list’ of standards and strongly recommended focusing on a core set of essential standards. In the words of one key informant, “Standards are needed that can be implemented and bend the cost curve…You can call them ‘strategic prioritization opportunities’ if needed.”

- **Design standards for use by a variety of target audiences.** Key informants want standards that can be used or adapted for use in a variety of formats by the various systems and organizations that serve CYSHCN. These target audiences include but are not limited to state Title V programs, state Medicaid and CHIP agencies, health plans, family/consumer groups, federal agencies, Accountable Care Organizations, provider networks, hospitals, and insurers. Family advocates stressed the need to use language that can be easily interpreted for use by consumers.

With the key informant recommendations in mind and the findings from the literature review and case study work, standards that focus on the structure and process of an effective system of care for CYSHCN were synthesized and in some cases developed. The system components and related system standards that were synthesized from the literature or practice are contained in a separate companion document to this background white paper.

---

**Conclusion**

Children and youth with special health care needs are an important population of children for focus as state Title V programs, Medicaid and CHIP programs, health care systems, children’s hospitals, and other entities undergo significant transformation as a result of the Affordable Care Act and other health reforms. Standards for systems serving CYSHCN are important and can play an integral role in efforts to improve the experience of care, improve health outcomes, and reduce health care costs. Reaching national consensus among a diverse group of stakeholders on the focus and content of these standards and the core strategies for their implementation can help realize the promise not only of the goals of the ACA but of long-standing recommendations for improving the health and well-being of CYSHCN.
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Appendix B: Site Case Studies

IOWA: Using Standards for Improving Systems for CYSHCN Served by Iowa Child Health Specialty Clinics

Child Health Specialty Clinics (CHSC), an organization within the Division of Child and Community Health within the Department of Pediatrics at the University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, administers the Iowa Title V Program for children and youth with special health care needs (CYSHCN). CHSC supports 13 regional centers, four of which concentrate on children’s behavioral and mental health services. In federal fiscal year 2012, CHSC provided care coordination, family support or gap-filling direct clinical services to approximately 4,200 children ages 0-21 years, and served thousands more through other public health activities throughout the state. CHSC accepts children regardless of income and insurance coverage, who have a chronic condition, are at an increased risk for a chronic condition, and have a need for special services. Chronic conditions can include physical, developmental, behavioral, or emotional issues. Family Navigators (who are parents or primary caregivers of CYSHCN themselves) provide care coordination and family support by engaging and supporting families who may or may not also receive CHSC clinical services. CHSC staff and families of CYSHCN are directly engaged in the planning and evaluation of services for CYSHCN. In addition to direct clinical care and care coordination, CHSC develops partnerships for advocacy and policy development to strengthen the system of care for CYSHCN in Iowa.

Title V Develops Standards and Quality Measurement Tools to Strengthen the CHSC System of Care

In 2010, as part of the Iowa Title V program five-year needs assessment and strategic planning process, the state recognized a need to put greater emphasis on improving the system of care for CYSHCN statewide. To realize this goal, Title V officials decided they could be most effective by first focusing on the system of care provided by CHSC. They also recognized that while the MCHB six core outcome goals for CYSHCN provide a useful broad framework, they would need more specific measurable process standards to assess CHSC strengths and weaknesses and make targeted system improvements.

To this end, the Title V program developed a new Title V State Performance Measure to assess “the degree to which components of a coordinated statewide system of care for CYSHCN are implemented.” CHSC then set out to develop priority process standards in each of the four service components supported by the CHSC system of care: direct clinical care, care coordination, family support, and infrastructure building.

Iowa Title V leadership recognized that it was critically important that the process standards be developed using a team process that engaged all CHSC staff who work directly with children and families in the program. In the words of Barbara Khal, executive director of CHSC, “We recognized process standards for the system of care would be useless unless we had teams of people who are directly involved determine the critical elements.”

Four workgroups were created, with each group focused on one component and comprised of individuals who work most closely in that aspect of the system. Using a participatory process, each group agreed on priority process standards for their respective component, which they called “elements.” CHSC then decided on a 0-5 scaled self-assessment rating tool, which each team would use to quantify the degree to which they were implementing the standards of each element. This tool would be used to track performance improvement. In this tool, a score of “0” meant that the “process is not defined or status is unknown.” At the highest end of performance, a score of “5” meant that for this element, “process outcomes are predictable; processes are fully embedded in operational systems; and, the process consistently meets the needs and expectations of all families and/or providers.”

How Standards are Being Used for the CHSC Program

The process standards and self-assessment tool that CHSC developed have become an integral part of program operations and quality improvement activities. According to the executive director of the Iowa CYSHCN program, the goal in developing these standards “was to ensure that each process would be in place and sustainable.” When asked about specific ways that the development of the specific standards have been integrated into their ongoing work and improved the quality of the system serving CYSHCN and their families, CHSC leadership explained that in just two years they have seen much progress. For example, the teams now meet monthly to discuss how they can make progress toward fully implementing each process element and
the teams have improved their performance on many of the elements using this team approach. Twice a year, the teams review and update their ratings on each of the standards. In the following months, they focus on improving performance in those areas where they scored most poorly. Through this internal self-assessment process, the teams also identified the need for process standards that cut across the four components, such as the provision of culturally and linguistically competent services; CHSC assigned a staff member to provide training and staff support to all the teams on these topics.

Iowa CHSC leadership notes that the standards also led to unexpected outcomes. For example, the care coordination team developed a tracking log structured on its 11 care coordination standards (see Figure 2.). These data were initially entered into a simple Access database for internal self-assessments. As the University of Iowa Hospital updated its electronic medical record system (called EPIC), the data elements in the tracking log were integrated into EPIC. As a result, CHSC has access to various data points it can use to evaluate the quality and quantity of the care coordination that is provided and make decisions about the quality of care for CYSHCN based on data. As another example, data from the care coordination team has already been used to improve the competency-based training for Family Navigators. A Family Navigator serves on the care coordination work group that analyzes quarterly data. He/She provides feedback to the Family Navigators working directly with families and provides ongoing mentoring where data indicate improvements are needed. This ongoing quarterly feedback allows rapid change and continuous quality improvement. The data that is entered into EPIC is being used to analyze time allocations of care coordination and family support within the clinic visits. This analysis allows for consideration as to whether more time is needed to serve families of children with more complex needs.

CHSC leadership emphasizes that while quality improvement has always been a priority for CHSC, the new standards and self-assessment process have created many positive outcomes, including increasing collaboration between staff across the state to work together to strengthen the system of care for CYSHCN in Iowa.

Lessons Learned and Next Steps
The Iowa Title V program continues to monitor all aspects of implementation of the ACA and its impact on CYSHCN. CHSC will use the program data from the tools developed for this state performance measure, the accompanying data points tracked in EPIC, and family impact data to show the continued importance of integrating public health into the state health care delivery systems. A future project will be to work with the University of Iowa on analysis of financial and quality metrics for delivering comprehensive services for CYSHCN in an integrated system, such as an Accountable Care Organization (ACO) or Integrated Health Home. Additionally, as Iowa implements Section 2703 of the ACA (Medicaid Health Homes), CHSC is part of the leadership team that is developing health homes for children with chronic conditions, including children with serious emotional disturbances.

Figure 2. Iowa Child Health Specialty Clinics, Care Coordination Standards

1. Comprehensive orientation and training for all care coordinators (e.g. CHSC values, confidentiality, cultural competence, family-directed, health literacy, EPIC, tracking log, roles by professional classification, motivational interviewing)
2. Families know they are receiving care coordination, the name of the care coordinator(s), and how to access him/her and a backup
3. Families provide qualitative and quantitative feedback regarding their experiences with care coordination
4. Families determine the level and type of care coordination support they desire
5. Care coordinators provide appropriate resources to match the health literacy level of CYSHCN and their family
6. Care coordination process assesses CYSHCN/family strengths, needs and monitors ongoing progress
7. Care coordinators connect families to comprehensive resources to match their current and emerging needs
8. Care coordinator(s) assist CYSHCN/family in developing self-advocacy skills
9. Crisis/Emergency plans are in place for children, youth, and families served (as needed)
10. Transition planning for CYSHCN begins on or before 14 years of age
11. Reimbursement mechanisms for care coordination are in place
Established in 1927, the Michigan Children’s Special Health Care Services program (CSHCS) is a statewide program administered by the Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH). CSHCS is designed to help families of children who have a severe and chronic medical condition by providing: 1) care coordination through a local health department and 2) payment for specialty services that are not covered by the child’s health insurance plan. The program serves approximately 30,000 children, of whom approximately 20,000 have Medicaid insurance.

CSHCS Medical Consultants determine a child’s eligibility for CSHCS benefits based on a physician’s referral and diagnosis. Children are eligible for CSHCS based on their diagnosis, the severity and chronicity of their condition, and their specialty care needs. Income or insurance coverage is not a factor in eligibility as the program is designed to fill the gaps in services that children need but their families cannot afford because they are either uninsured or underinsured. Once a child is enrolled in CSHCS, the program covers a broad array of specialty services related to the qualifying diagnosis that are authorized by their child’s subspecialty physician, but which their child’s insurance (if insured) does not cover, including, but not limited to: ancillary medical therapies and services, home visiting by a skilled nurse, respite care, hospice care, and durable medical equipment and supplies. Primary care, well child care, and mental health services are major services not covered by the CSHCS program.

How CSHCS Participants Were Transitioned into Medicaid Managed Care

In May 2011, the Michigan legislature called for MDCH to develop a plan to transition all Medicaid-eligible CSHCS participants into Medicaid managed care plans (called Medicaid Health Plans or MHPs). State leaders, however, recognized potential benefits to the CYSHCN system of care, including:

- Organized approach to primary care as part of a system of medical care
- Addition of health plan case management leading to enhanced care coordination for all medical care including specialty, primary, and all other covered services

Recognizing that an extensive planning and implementation process would be needed to transition this population into managed care, the state legislature and the administration concurred that the integration of the CSHCS population into managed care could not take place until State Fiscal Year 2013.

In 2012, MDCH launched their needs assessment and planning process as they had done in earlier years to transition other populations into Medicaid managed care pursuant to the legislature’s request. However, according to Michigan’s Title V CYSHCN program, this transition was “the most complex of any the state had experienced” and required “thousands of hours of work.” The Department began by convening an internal work group comprised of 25 staff from CSHCS and the Medical Services Administration and key experts from the Michigan State University Institute for Healthcare Studies and Maximus, the private enrollment broker for Medicaid in Michigan. This workgroup met monthly with additional meetings for subgroups addressing topics such as data, systems, and coordination. MDCH also enlisted the input of outside stakeholders including the MHPs, providers, and families. The health plans were engaged through regularly scheduled monthly operational meetings that MDCH holds with the Medicaid Health Plans (MHPs). These meetings provided an opportunity to provide input on the infrastructure necessary to successfully serve this population. Separate meetings were held with health care providers, the three largest children’s hospitals in the state, and other providers that work closely with the CSHCS program. MDCH also made a concerted effort to seek out family participation and address their concerns throughout the planning process in various ways, including through the CSHCS Advisory Committee, the parent staff who work in the CSHCS program and a series of focus groups conducted with parents around the state.

Children with a wide range of chronic medical conditions requiring specialty care are eligible for CYSHCN, though the program does not include children whose primary diagnosis is a behavioral condition or developmental disability. Additionally, severity is always taken into account in determining eligibility. For example, while children with chronic asthma can be eligible for CSHCS those whose care can be provided by a primary care physician are generally not eligible for CSHCS.
Figure 3. Key Managed Care Contracting Specifications for Enrollees in the Michigan Children’s Special Health Care Services Program

• **Network adequacy and accessibility.** The health plan networks must include pediatric subspecialists, children’s hospitals, pediatric regional centers, and ancillary providers that provide services to CSHCS enrollees and the MHP “must maintain a network of qualified providers in sufficient numbers and locations within the counties in the service area to provide required access to covered services.”

• **Continuity of care.** MHPs must allow CSHCS enrollees to continue to use the primary and specialty providers they have had established relationships with at the time of enrollment, whether or not they are in their networks. MHPs also must pay for provision of ancillary provider services and medical supplies under “prior authorization” agreements that were in place when the child was in fee for service Medicaid (for the duration of the prior authorization).

• **Assignment of a primary care physician (PCP).** PCPs assigned to these children must attest to their experience and ability to serve this population. In addition, MHPs must allow families to choose a specialist to serve as the CSHCS enrollee’s PCP, assuming the specialist is willing and able to provide all of the services required of the PCP.

• **Transportation services.** While past Medicaid managed care contracts included a requirement for transportation assistance, the revised contracts clarify that MHPs must, when needed, provide transportation to all covered services.

• **Special grievance and appeal process.** MHPs must maintain a separate system for addressing grievances and appeals for this population with a pediatric specialist involved in the reviews. Additionally, the plans must track and report separately on the grievances and appeals by families of CSHCS enrollees.

• **Care coordination.** Historically, local health departments have helped families enrolled in the CSHCS program develop a plan of care and access the services they need. With the movement of CSHCS children into managed care, the new contracts require each plan to have care managers who specialize in working with children with complex medical needs. At the same time, the plans must enter into formal coordination agreements with their local health departments to prevent duplication of effort and ensure coordinated care for each CSHCS enrollee.

• **Family engagement.** Contract language encourages MHPs to develop forums for discussion between the CSHCS enrollees, their families, and themselves. While the contract language does not specify the format for family engagement, it is suggested that families can be involved through advisory groups, periodic surveys, focus groups, and other venues. Several best practices have emerged already for family engagement, including the engagement of families as ombudsmen for parents of CYSHCN and creation of plan-level parent advisory committees.

• **Outreach and assistance for CSHCS enrollees and their families.** MHPs are required to have a member service telephone line with personnel trained to work with this population and a Web portal with information for CSHCS enrollees. Along with these contract standards, MDCH worked closely with the enrollment brokers and MHPs to assure they would conduct “targeted outreach and education to CSHCS enrollees.”

• **Quality measurement.** To enable the MHPs and MDCH to monitor the quality of care provided to the CSHCS population, plans are now required to track quality measures separately for the CSHCS population, including information from HEDIS data sets and the Children with Chronic Conditions (CCC) - CAHPS consumer satisfaction survey.
Michigan Establishes Standards to Protect Continuity of Care, Ensure Access and Quality, and Promote Coordination of Care for CYSHCN in Medicaid Managed Care

Based on input from the various stakeholder groups, the workgroup identified areas where safeguards were needed to ensure the plans would protect access and promote quality of care for current and future CSHCS enrollees. As described below, to prepare the MHPs for serving this population, MDCH developed two kinds of safeguards: 1) initial core competency standards that determined whether the plans would be able to serve the population and 2) very specific managed care contract specifications.

Core Competency Standards
In spring 2012, MDCH developed Core Competencies as guidelines for health plans to evaluate their capacity to serve the CSHCS population. The competencies addressed the following areas: access, network adequacy, referral processing, performance monitoring, grievance/appeals, prior authorization, family involvement, and overall plan performance. MDCH then asked the MHPs to submit documentation to demonstrate their ability to meet these competencies with examples of how they would meet them. Twelve MHPs were deemed eligible and began enrolling CSHCS participants on Oct. 1, 2012.

Managed Care Contract Specifications
Recognizing the strength of contract language as a tool to direct and shape MHP policies and practices, MDCH also revised the contracts with the MHPs. Key provisions in the new contract language are summarized below.

Plans for Monitoring Plan Performance
Several important processes have been put in place to ensure that MHP performance can be assessed and improved in the early implementation stage and on an ongoing basis, including engaging families in the process, conducting in-depth performance site reviews, and monitoring changes in parent satisfaction.

In an interview with Lonnie Barnett, the Michigan CYSHCN program director, he emphasized the important role that families and providers have historically played in ensuring quality of care for CYSHCN in Michigan, and the important role they will continue to play. “MDCH has made a commitment to involve families of CYSHCN throughout the process of planning, implementing and monitoring plan performance.”

To this end, MDCH will establish a new advisory group to provide feedback on how the managed care plans are serving the CSHCS population. This stakeholder group will include representatives from the state CYSHCN program, local health departments, at least five parents of CSHCS enrollees, the managed care division of MDCH, children’s hospitals, providers of durable medical equipment, prosthetics, and orthotics, and others.

In this first year of integration of the CSHCS population, MDCH staff also will conduct an intensive review of the MHPs performance specific to their implementation of some of the new contract requirements and a follow-up on their ability to perform the Core Competencies. MDCH documents indicate that focus areas for review will include: network adequacy; contracts with specialty providers and institutions; the prior authorization process; grievance and appeals processes and the rate and type of grievances and appeals for CSHCS enrollees; the ability of the IT system to track the CSHCS population and generate measures of utilization, access and quality of care for them; the existence of practice guidelines for conditions of CSHCS enrollees (e.g. Type I diabetes, asthma, cerebral palsy, sickle cell disease); mechanisms in place to monitor referral processing time and results; and coordination of medical and behavioral health services. MDCH also plans to use quantitative measures to monitor and promote the quality of care children in CSHCS receive. This will include reviewing the results of the CCC-CAHPS 2012 and 2014 surveys to compare changes in parent satisfaction between the fee-for-service CSHCS environment (2012) and managed care (2014). MDCH also is exploring the development of CSHCS-specific performance measures in addition to the measures already monitored through the existing tools.

“Do not rush through the process. Think through what the priorities are and take the time needed. Our planning process required a full 18 months. And, all parties must recognize that transitioning CYSHCN into managed care requires a special process and approach.”

Lonnie Barnett, Michigan Department of Community Health

Appendix B: Site Case Studies continued
Lessons Learned

At the time of this publication, enrollment of the CSHCS population into MHPs had just neared completion. While it is too early for MDCH to assess the impact of the standards on access and quality of care for CYSHCN, Mr. Barnett stressed that taking time for planning, obtaining commitments from the health plans who might participate, and engaging key stakeholders in the process are key elements of the process and perhaps just as important as the individual contract standards developed throughout this process.

“Effective communication between the state agency, families, MHPs, children’s hospitals, local health departments, and other providers is the key to the success of our work in Michigan to date.”
Lonnie Barnett, Michigan Department of Community Health
Appendix B: Site Case Studies continued

RHODE ISLAND: Comprehensive Systems Building for CYSHCN

State officials, family advocates and health care providers in Rhode Island share a long history of working together to build a statewide integrated system of care for CYSHCN. This collaborative effort was spearheaded in the late 1990s when the Rhode Island Executive Office of Health and Human Services (EOHHS) and Title V agency and parents of CYSHCN convened the Leadership Roundtable for Children with Special Health Care Needs. The Leadership Roundtable, which met routinely for more than five years, included representatives from the Title V CYSHCN program, Medicaid agency, the Rhode Island Parent Information Network (RIPIN), Family Voices, the Rhode Island Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics, Rhode Island children’s hospital, health providers, Medicaid managed care agencies, community health centers and others who work with CYSHCN in the state.

Based on the needs expressed by families of CYSHCN and input from the various stakeholders on the Leadership Roundtable, Rhode Island has put in place the following statewide programs and initiatives for CYSHCN:

- The Comprehensive Evaluation, Diagnostic, Assessment, Referral, Reevaluation (CEDARR) Centers
- The Pediatric Practice Enhancement Program (PPEP)
- Inclusion of CYSHCN in Medicaid managed care (Rite Care)

Although their funding and administrative structures are separate, these three initiatives work together as complementary and integral components of the system of care for CYSHCN in Rhode Island.

The CEDARR Family Centers

CEDARR Family Centers were the first of these three initiatives. They were developed for CYSHCN on Medicaid to provide a one-stop location for children to receive screening, diagnoses, education, family supports, and referrals to other Medicaid services including clinical (e.g., medical, behavioral health) and nonclinical (e.g., education, substance abuse and juvenile justice, and home based services such as personal assistance and respite care) services. Center staff develop plans to coordinate care and act as the child’s health home.

The Pediatric Provider Enhancement Program (PPEP)

PPEP was developed with a focus on improving the capability of pediatric practices to provide coordinated care for CYSHCN by providing needed information and supports to the children and families they see (including families with private health insurance or Medicaid). The program is primarily funded by the participating practices with some contributions from state Title V agency, Medicaid and private insurance reimbursement. It is administered by the Rhode Island Parent Information Network (RIPIN), which trains and places parents of CYSHCN to work on-site at 30 primary and specialty pediatric practices throughout the state. The parents are trained consultants who offer on-site information and supports to the families of CYSHCN to help them coordinate the care their children need, link them with community resources, and navigate the health care system and other services (including education, social services, and housing) that their child needs.

Inclusion of CYSHCN in Medicaid Managed Care Program (Rite Care)

In 1994, when Rhode Island implemented a Medicaid managed care program (Rite Care) for families and children, CYSHCN were carved out and remained in Medicaid with service coverage on a fee-for-service basis. However, in recognition of the need to improve access to care and help families manage the care their children need, beginning in 2003 CYSHCN were gradually included in one of the two state Rite Care managed care plans. First, in 2001, the Rhode Island EOHHS began enrolling children in substitute care arrangements (i.e., foster care) into one Rite Care health plan on a voluntary basis. Next, in 2003 Rhode Island EOHHS offered enrollment in managed care as an option to children in the following Medicaid coverage groups: SSI, adoption subsidy, and children receiving Medicaid through the Katie Beckett provision. Then, beginning in 2008 through 2010, Rhode Island EOHHS required all children in these coverage groups to enroll in a Rite Care health plan.

*As of fall 2011, under the section 2703 federal Medicaid option, Rhode Island DHS designated the CEDARR Family Centers as Medicaid “Health Homes” for children with disabilities and chronic conditions.

*For more information on the PPEP program see the AMCHP Innovation Station. Also of note is the fact that In February 2013, building on its success in helping families with CYSHCN to access needed services through the PPEP program, RIPIN was awarded federal funding from the Affordable Care Act to set up a “consumer assistance program” to help Rhode Islanders understand and access health insurance coverage.
At the same time that Rite Care was expanded to include CYSHCN, Rhode Island EOHHS was working with families to understand what was needed to ensure quality of care for CYSHCN and with the health plans to ensure they could adequately meet the level and complexity of these children’s needs for health care and related services. In addition, Rhode Island EPHHS focused on clarifying the benefits that plans must provide in-network or out-of-network and reinforcing the federal Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis rules, the contracts included specific language designed to ensure timely access to and quality of care for CYSHCN, promote care coordination, and incorporate the input of parents in the development of each child’s care plan.

The key Rite Care contract specifications for CYSHCN fall into four general categories:

- Access to needed pediatric specialty care
- Access to comprehensive behavioral health services for children
- Timely, appropriate, and ongoing needs identification, case management and care planning
- Care coordination between medical providers, medical and behavioral providers, and across systems serving CYSHCN

Figure 4 highlights selected Rite Care contract provisions in each of these areas.

Lessons Learned
When asked about the impact of the systems and standards that have been put in place for CYSHCN in Rhode Island, state officials report that there have been significant gains in access to needed health services and reductions in emergency care and hospitalization for CYSHCN as a result of the PPEP, CEDARR, and the move to Medicaid managed care for CSCHN. A 2012 report by Rhode Island Kids Count confirms this perception based on parent feedback. The report states that parents of CYSHCN in Rhode Island have reported “improved access to specialists, behavioral health and nutrition counseling, oral health services, therapeutic child care, and parent support services.”

Despite the advancements and successes Rhode Island has had in developing a model system of care for CYSHCN, gaps and challenges still exist for many families. EOHHS officials highlighted the need for better coordination of medical and behavioral health services and improvements in how care is coordinated between managed care organizations (MCOs) and community systems of care and how MCO care managers work with CYSHCN and their families. Other state officials noted that despite the existence of Rite Care contract specifications in these areas today, coordination of care for families and communication across different points of the system (e.g. clinical and non-clinical) is lacking – particularly between the various systems and the child’s medical home.

“In developing the Rite Care contract language we sought to take into consideration all that families had taught us over the years.”
Rhode Island Medicaid Official

---

6 The Medical Home Index (MHI) is a validated self-assessment and classification tool designed to translate the broad indicators defining the medical home (accessible, family-centered, comprehensive, coordinated, etc.) into observable, tangible behaviors and processes of care within any office setting. It is a way of measuring and quantifying the “medical homeness” of a practice.

7 Examples of quality improvement projects that the pilot sites selected and successfully embedded in their processes of care included: 1) increase the use of the Children with Special Health Care Needs Screener to identify children with chronic conditions and special needs; 2) routinize use of an asthma action plan will ensure the plan is explained to the child and family, including training on inhaler use; and 3) screening for nutrition and activity counseling during a well-child visit.
Figure 4. Key Standards for CYSHCN in Rhode Island Medicaid Managed Care (RIte Care) Contracts

Access to Needed Pediatric Specialty Care
- Plans must provide access to pediatric specialists in “sufficient numbers and locations to ensure specialty services can be made available in a timely manner”
- CYSHCN can choose a pediatric specialist to be their Primary Care Provider (PCP)
- CYSHCN who require ongoing treatment or regular care monitoring by a specialist must be allowed direct access to a specialist, without prior authorization
- To ensure continuity of care, plans must allow newly enrolled CYSHCN to continue seeing out-of-network providers, including specialists, for up to six months after enrollment

Access to Comprehensive Behavioral Health Services for Children
- Plans must have the “full continuum” of services for children’s behavioral health needs in-network, including acute services in a 24-hour hour clinical setting, intermediate services, and less intensive outpatient services and community support services

Identification of Needs and Care Management
- Plans must conduct outreach to newly enrolled CYSHCN and within 45 days of enrollment conduct an “initial health screen” to determine the level of care management needs
- Based on the results of the initial health screen, a care manager specialist for CYSHCN must develop a care plan for the child. For children with simpler needs, this can be a short-term care plan. For CYSHCN with more complex care and support needs, the care manager must develop an “intensive care plan” that is reviewed and updated at least every six months
- Plans must be developed in collaboration with the child’s parents, guardians, or caregivers, and his/her PCP
- Care plans must be updated for CYSHCN at least every six months
- Plans must make care managers available to CYSHCN and their families throughout their enrollment in the health plan “as such needs arise”

Care Coordination
- Plans must require communication and collaboration and promote timely exchange of information between the child’s PCP and specialist who is coordinating the child’s care
- Plans must ensure coordination between in-plan medical services and behavioral health services
- Plans must have policies and procedures for referring children to and coordinating with many social and public health programs including services specified in a child’s Individualized Education Plan (IEP), the Early Intervention Program, the CEDARR Centers, and home and community-based service providers
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Recommendations for Other States
Developing Systems of Care for CYSHCN
When Rhode Island Title V and Medicaid officials were asked what they learned from their experiences that would be useful to recommend to others states in developing standards to enhance their systems of care, they emphasized the following factors as fundamental to their successes.

- **Cooperation and partnerships between Title V and Medicaid.** Joint program planning and collaborative work relationships between the Rhode Island Medicaid and Title V CYSHCN program were essential. State officials said that by working together and linking funding across programs, the system is now stronger since they have a shared understanding of the needs of CYSHCN and have made a commitment to work together to address them.

- **Close working relationships with health plans.** Rhode Island state officials stressed the importance of working in a collaborative fashion with health plans when CYSHCN are first moved into managed care as well as after contract award to address systemic problems that may be identified from parent feedback or through the routine plan performance monitoring process.

- **Family supports, engagement, and partnering.** Rhode Island EOHHS officials emphasized how important the inclusion of supports for families is when designing managed care plans for CYSHCN. In the words of one state official, "The child has no greater asset than a parent of family that is supported and enabled to help that child. If we don’t support and enable parents and families to help their child, then the child will have less of a chance to do well."

State officials urged the engagement of families as partners in systems development. They noted the importance of building in standards to ensure that parents are integrally involved in the development of their child’s care plan. Even more important, they urged states to listen to and engage families in the design and implementation of policies and programs. They also recommended putting in place systematic methods to ensure a “continuous feedback loop from parents” to inform state officials about problems as they arise and how the effectiveness of the system could be improved.
Texas Children’s Health Plan (TCHP), a nonprofit provider-owned plan, is the third largest Medicaid/CHIP MCO in Texas. The plan serves approximately 344,700 children in Jefferson county, Houston/Harris county, and surrounding counties, including an estimated 65,000 children who have mild to moderate special health care needs. This number includes approximately 24,000 children who have a diagnosis of asthma, 11,000 who have attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in need of chronic disease management and 700 who have diabetes.

For several years, TCHP has been developing new processes to achieve what is widely known as the Triple Aim: quality care, improved outcomes for CYSHCN and their families, and reduced costs – particularly the high costs of preventable hospitalizations and readmissions. As a provider-owned MCO, leaders of TCHP recognize that several strategies are necessary to achieve these aims. Specifically, such strategies must:

1. Include excellent primary care and specialty providers in the network
2. Support providers who want to serve these children, improving the quality of care delivered by becoming pediatric medical homes
3. Address barriers to accessing care, especially by reducing no-shows, and promoting use of preventive care and chronic care visits
4. Help providers identify CYSHCN and access data that would reveal whether families had filled needed prescriptions and been able to follow-through with the PCP referrals for other needed care and monitoring (such as laboratory tests and specialty care)

Medical Home Pilots
To this end, in 2011, TCHP implemented a multipronged six-month medical home pilot project, which included providing each participating practice a registry with information on claims and prescriptions filled for each CYSHCN in their panel; placing a nurse care manager in their office; and engaging the practice staff in a quality improvement process. The pilot had two key objectives: 1) help primary care practices to identify CYSHCN who require close management, bringing them in for face-to-face office visits that would allow providers to identify barriers to care, develop a collaborative care plan, and conduct follow-up to ensure they could access the care and resources they needed; and 2) facilitate collaboration and communication within and among participating practices so that they could provide family-centered care by embedding care coordination as an essential element for managing the care of and providing services to CYSHCN.

Six large pediatric practices were selected for the pilot; a part-time nurse manager was assigned to each. The following section summarizes the core components of the pilot – population management, individualized care coordination, and quality improvement at the practice level.

• **Population Management.** TCHP quickly realized that it would not be enough to manage individual patients. It needed processes to manage an entire population. To that end, it tried several approaches, such as weekly analyses of its centralized claims registries and pharmacy fill data on TCHP enrollees at each pilot site. Using this information, the nurse case manager worked with the scheduler in each office to make appropriate follow-up appointments for children.

• **Individualized Care Coordination.** To be effective, population management must be coupled with care coordination for individual children. To this end, nurse case managers conducted a comprehensive assessment of each CYSHCN before the clinical visit. The nurse case manager would meet with each child...
and family to conduct a developmental screening, identify barriers to care, and assess the strengths, needs, and desired goals of the family. Nurse case managers shared the findings with the PCP; following the actual clinical visit, they would work with the provider and the family to create an individualized care plan. TCHP set specific standards for these care plans to ensure that they included components essential to supporting children and families. These components included developing action steps; creating transition plans (for teenagers); making referrals to community organizations and resources and other TCHP staff; and developing plans to ensure continued communication among the PCP, other specialists, and the family. Nurse case managers provided ongoing support to families. In addition to making sure that the care plan was followed, they served as an advocate to children and families, helping them to identify and obtain other services, including social services and educational resources.

- Quality Improvement at the Practice Level.
  Quality improvement was an essential element of the program. At the start of the project, the nurse case manager distributed the pediatric Medical Home Index tool to all practice staff. This tool encompasses six domains: organizational capacity, chronic condition management, care coordination, community outreach, data management, and quality improvement. Once the tool was completed, the nurse case manager shared findings with practice staff, and helped them collectively to identify their strengths and weaknesses and work on a quality improvement project of their choosing.

Pilot Study Results
When the six-month medical home pilot period was completed, TCHP offered to continue the embedded care coordination, provision of data on CYSHCN, and other quality improvement supports to the six practices. Only one of the six practices declined the offer. Among the participating sites, all implemented successful quality improvement projects. Comparison of pre and post pilot data reveals impressive results such as: improved Medical Home Index mean scores, lower hospital admission rates (in four sites the reduction was statistically significant), and a statistically significant decrease in TCHP monthly claims/costs per member.

Process evaluation results also proved to be informative, and helped TCHP in its design of additional broad-based systems reforms for CYSHCN. A survey of the pilot site staff found overwhelming agreement that the medical home quality improvement project helped with overall office communication, and that having the case manager embedded in the office setting helped not only to enhance patient care, but to improve the office environment.

At the same time, focus group discussions with nurse case managers provided important insights and suggestions for further study and change. For example, nurse case managers noted that lack of electronic records and other IT system gaps limit the ability of each practice to internally identify and track children’s care plans and their receipt of services, as well as their ready access to the TCHP patient registry and other data systems. Input from the focus groups also revealed the need to develop a more coordinated follow-up system for families who need it.

Proposed State Medicaid Pilot to Coordinate Health Care Delivery System for SSI Children
In 2012-2013, TCHP, working with its partners in Medicaid managed care plans across the state (called the STAR program in Texas), developed a new “managed care health organization” framework for serving children who receive SSI and Medicaid (called STAR in Texas). The lead authors of this Children’s SSI STAR Framework are Dr. Giardino, the TCHP Chief Medical Officer and Christopher Born, the president of TCHP. The framework was designed based on lessons learned from past systems improvements, including the medical home pilots, and responded to the opportunity presented by the Affordable Care Act for states to create new models of patient-centered, high-quality, cost-effective and comprehensive health care.

The structure of this new statewide network for SSI children would unite all the Medicaid networks that had been serving these children (managed care and fee-for-service) under an umbrella STAR MCO product. The new product would include three tiers of PCP provider networks (“regular,” “select CYSHCN PCP,” and “premier CYSHCN PCP”), and also would be reimbursed at different levels. The draft proposes integrating specialty physical health and behavioral health into the statewide network and to work with academic centers that care for complex CYSHCN to provide just-in-time technical assistance and support to community providers who want to care for CYSHCN but lack the expertise and confidence to care for complex children. The core of this framework is its emphasis on family- and child-centered care. The network improvements also would be based on standards of quality that focus on family
partnerships, care coordination, and quality monitoring and improvement. Key elements of the framework include:

- **Emphasis on Family Partnerships.** The family and child are at the heart of the system of care: patient-centered care and family engagement are central. The authors explain that parents (or other caregivers) would be integrally involved in planning and care delivery “in order to have substantive input into the way their loved ones will be cared for and empowered to oversee their care to ensure that the children and their families obtain indicated care when and where they need it and that the care is delivered in a culturally and linguistically appropriate manner.”

- **Care Coordination.** Care coordination – of clinical care, social services, and educational resources – is the glue of the system. The framework recommends embedding a care coordination team at each practice to serve large numbers of beneficiaries. Responding to lessons learned from the medical home project, the authors propose that the care coordination team at sites serving large number of CYSHCN would include not only a nurse case manager, but a navigator, a parent consultant, a health educator, and an outreach worker. For smaller practices, those teams would be available to serve CYSHCN but not work on-site.

**Next Steps**

In April 2013, the Texas State Senate passed Senate Bill 7, which would establish a statewide STAR Kids program. The bill is now going through the legislative process in the Texas House of Representatives. Beginning Sept. 1, 2015, most children and young adults under the age of 21 who receive SSI Medicaid or home and community-based waiver services will receive some or all of their Medicaid services through a program called STAR Kids. STAR Kids will be a Medicaid managed care model designed specifically for children and young adults with special needs. Children enrolled in STAR Kids will receive comprehensive service coordination. Children and youth who are enrolled in the Medically Dependent Children Program will get all of their services through STAR Kids. Those who receive services through the Youth Empowerment Services (YES) mental health and substance abuse waiver also will be served through STAR Kids. Children and youth who receive services through other home and community-based programs administered through the Department of Aging and Disability Services (DADS) will continue to receive their long-term services and supports through that program, but their acute care will be provided through STAR Kids.

As proposed, the Medically Dependent Children Program will start moving its members into STAR Kids in September 2014. The Deaf Blind Multiple Disabilities (DBMD), Home and Community-based Services (HCS) and Community Living Assistance and Support Services (CLASS) Medicaid waiver services will continue to be available for those who need it, though currently there is a 10-year waiting period for waiver programs. The pilot authorized in Senate Bill 7 bill would result in increased access to services and care coordination at home and in the community. TCHP officials emphasize that this state pilot is aligned with the goals of the Affordable Care Act “by encouraging personal accountability and appropriate utilization of health care services, including alignment of payment incentives with high-quality, cost-effective health care.”

**Lessons Learned**

Commenting on the results of its medical home pilot projects and future efforts to implement system improvements in the quality of care for CYSHCN, TCHP medical director said, “The principles that underscore an ideal patient and family centered medical home have constantly shown an ability to deliver increased quality of care in a cost effective manner. We seek to connect the resources from MCO to the service delivery effort of primary care providers in a way that produces a sustainable care model that will engage the resources to actually deliver on the promise of a medical home for a given child and family.”

As TCHP awaits the movement of the STAR Kids legislation through the state legislature, it is moving forward with other innovations to improve the quality of care and coordination of care for CYSHCN in the Houston area and statewide in several ways. First, TCHP is in the process of establishing its own STAR Kids. In addition, TCHP was awarded an MCHB State Implementation for Systems of Services for CYSHCN grant for three years, partnering and sharing resources with the Texas Title V program, Texas Pediatric Society and Texas Parent To Parent to promote the medical home model and improve the system of services. Most recently, TCHP is engaged in a new quality improvement project to engage noncompliant families and diabetic teens. The “connector” will establish an ongoing relationship with diabetic youth and their family to improve the engagement of youth in their own care to improve health outcomes. While focused on one specific disease group, the project will be another example of how health plan resources complement and strengthen the patient-physician relationship and improve outcomes for CYSHCN.
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Background

Creating a comprehensive, quality system of care for children and youth with special health care needs (CYSHCN) has been one of the most challenging areas for state health leaders and other stakeholders such as state Title V CYSHCN programs, health plans, private insurers, state Medicaid and CHIP agencies, pediatricians and family physicians, and families. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) has further heightened this need as states extend coverage to millions of uninsured children and adults, design essential health benefits, and implement initiatives to improve the quality of care to reduce health care costs and improve overall health outcomes.

For more than three decades, numerous national reports, initiatives, and research have described or called for frameworks, standards and various measures to advance a comprehensive system of care for CYSHCN and their families. These and other efforts have helped to establish important work in states, communities, health plans, provider practices, and other areas to build comprehensive systems of care for CYSHCN. However, they have not resulted in an agreed on national set of standards that could be used and applied within health care and public health systems and other child-serving systems to improve health care quality and health outcomes for this population of children. Furthermore, many of these frameworks and tools were developed prior to the passage of the ACA and other significant health system reforms that are currently underway across the country.

Achieving consensus on the necessary capacity and performance of systems serving CYSHCN is essential to comprehensive, quality systems of care for this population of children. A central purpose of the National Consensus Framework for Improving Quality Systems of Care for Children and Youth with Special Health Care Needs project is to develop a core set of structure and process standards for systems of care for CYSHCN, based on the research and national consensus among a diverse group of stakeholders with expertise in their field. The standards that are described in this document are intended for use by a range of national, state and local stakeholder groups including state Title V CYSHCN programs, health plans, state Medicaid and CHIP agencies, pediatric provider organizations, children’s hospitals, insurers, health services researchers, families/consumers and others.

Children and Youth with Special Health Care Needs

Children and youth with special health care needs (CYSHCN) are a diverse group of children ranging from children with chronic conditions such as asthma or diabetes, to children with autism, to those with more medically complex health issues such as spina bifida or other congenital disorders, to children with behavioral or emotional conditions. Overall, CYSHCN are defined as children birth to age 21 who have or are at increased risk for a chronic physical, developmental, behavioral, or emotional condition and who also require health and related services of a type or amount beyond that required by children generally.¹ In a recent national survey, children with a chronic condition birth to age 18 represented approximately 15 percent of the entire child population in the United States.²

These standards focus on children and youth with special health care needs for several reasons. Federal requirements under Medicaid require state Medicaid agencies and their partners to identify this population of children. Additionally, there are operational considerations in terms of processes and contract requirements that are specific to CYSHCN within health care systems. That said, in order to fully achieve a comprehensive system of care and ultimately improved health outcomes for all children, including CYSHCN, it is critical to recognize that all children have the potential for having a special health care need at some point in their life. As such, some standards in this document have relevance for all children.

About the Development of the System Standards

The standards that are described in the following table address the core components of the structure and process of an effective system of care for CYSHCN. The standards and related core domain areas (see below) were derived from a comprehensive review of the literature, early guidance during the project from more than 30 key informants, case studies of standards currently in use within selected sites, and input and
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guidance from a national work group comprised of national and state leaders representing state Title V CYSHCN programs, health plans, state Medicaid and CHIP agencies, pediatric providers, children’s hospitals, insurers, health services researchers, families/consumers, and others. The system standards were fully vetted by the national work group members. They are intended for use or adaptation by a wide range of stakeholders at the national, state and local levels.

The standards are grounded in the six core outcomes for systems of care for CYSHCN that were developed by the federal Maternal and Child Health Bureau, Health Resources and Services Administration. Additionally, they include a seventh outcome – cultural competence in the health care system – that was identified through the work of this project and recommended by national work group members to this project. (Please see below.)

Where there were existing standards in the literature and/or practice, those standards were synthesized and cited to the source. For some core domain areas, there were no specific standards described in the literature or practice of the sites that were interviewed as part of this project. In other cases, the content of a standard was implicit and/or embedded within national frameworks, guidelines and/or program principles. In both of these cases, new standards were developed.

Finally, these standards are designed to supplement, not substitute, federal statute and regulatory requirements under Medicaid, the ACA and other relevant laws. Additional information about the history, need and rationale for a nationally endorsed set of core system standards, methodology, and examples of sites that are using system standards are described in a companion background white paper that was developed as part of this project.

3 Ibid.
Overall System Outcomes for CYSHCN:1,3,4

1. Family Professional Partnerships: Families of CYSHCN will partner in decision making at all levels and will be satisfied with the services they receive

2. Medical Home: CYSHCN will receive family-centered, coordinated, ongoing comprehensive care within a medical home

3. Insurance and Financing: Families of CYSHCN have adequate private and/or public insurance and financing to pay for the services they need

4. Early and Continuous Screening and Referral: Children are screened early and continuously for special health care needs

5. Easy to Use Services and Supports: Services for CYSHCN and their families will be organized in ways that families can use them easily and include access to patient and family-centered care coordination

6. Transition to Adulthood: Youth with special health care needs receive the services necessary to make transitions to all aspects of adult life, including adult health care, work, and independence

7. Cultural Competence: All CYSHCN and their families will receive care that is culturally and linguistically appropriate (attends to racial, ethnic, religious, and language domains)

Core Domains for System Standards

1. Screening, Assessment and Referral
2. Eligibility and Enrollment
3. Access to Care
4. Medical Home, including:
   - Pediatric Preventive and Primary Care
   - Care Coordination
   - Pediatric Specialty Care
5. Community-based Services and Supports, including:
   - Respite Care
   - Palliative and Hospice Care
   - Home-based Services
6. Family Professional Partnerships
7. Transition to Adulthood
8. Health Information Technology
9. Quality Assurance and Improvement
10. Insurance and Financing
## System Principles, Standards and Availability of Quality Measures for Systems of Care for CYSHCN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCREENING, ASSESSMENT, AND REFERRAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Children are screened early and continuously for special health care needs. | Screening and Assessment:  
1. Upon enrollment and transfer between insurance coverage (e.g., public and private), a consistent and culturally and linguistically appropriate mechanism for identifying CYSHCN, including children with significant health conditions, is in place to ensure that these children are referred to appropriate types and sources of enhanced care.¹  
2. Promptly after enrollment in a health plan, all CYSHCN are provided a documented initial assessment that is conducted in collaboration with the child’s family or caregiver.²  
3. State newborn screening information is delivered to providers and parents in a timely fashion and arrangements made for necessary follow-up services are documented. If indicated, the need for repeat screening and follow-up is communicated to the health plan and providers by the hospital or state program.³  
4. The child’s health plan and medical home have a documented plan and process to demonstrate how they follow-up with a hospital or state health department when newborn screening results are not received.⁴  
5. All children, including CYSHCN, receive periodic, developmentally appropriate, and recommended | • Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children, and Adolescents, American Academy of Pediatrics⁷  
• Draft Structure and Process Measures for Integrated Care for People with Dual Eligibility for Medicare and Medicaid, National Committee for Quality Assurance⁸  
• Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment (EPSDT) screening requirements for children enrolled in Medicaid.⁹  
  • comprehensive health and developmental history  
  • comprehensive unclothed physical exam  
  • vision and hearing screening and referral to a dental provider¹⁰  
  • appropriate immunizations  
  • lab tests  
  • anticipatory guidance  
  Federal Medicaid managed care regulations for screening of CYSHCN¹¹  
  The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) requires that Bright Futures Guidelines be followed as the standard that provides the basis for eligible preventive services¹² | • Healthy People 2020 Measures  
• National Quality Forum Measures  
• Children’s Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act (CHIPRA) Core Measures  
• National Survey of Children’s Health |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>comprehensive screenings (to include screening for physical health, oral health, mental health, developmental, and psychosocial needs, and cultural and linguistic needs, preferences or limitations) as part of a well-child visit or other preventive visit and in response to triggering events such as hospitalization, trauma, or sudden onset of new symptoms, in accordance with Bright Futures Guidelines.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Screening efforts, results and referrals for further assessment are documented, relayed to the child’s medical home and family, and, to the extent feasible, coordinated among all screening entities, including but not limited to clinical care settings, medical homes, child care settings, and schools.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Referral/Follow-up:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Following a screening and assessment, the CYSHCN and their family are referred to needed services including pediatric specialists, therapies, other service systems such as Early Intervention, Special Education, family organizations and community-based agencies, and follow-up is provided to ensure such referrals are completed. In turn, those services and systems should ensure follow-up to the child’s medical home and other members of the child’s care team after referral visits.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Regardless of the entity conducting a screening and referral, protocols and documentation methods are in place for the primary care provider, medical home or other such entity to follow-up with the child and family in areas including: assessment of follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Standards for Systems of Care for CYSHCN

#### SYSTEM DOMAINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>received, barriers to care, and, where feasible, assistance in addressing barriers to obtaining needed follow-up.°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ELIGIBILITY AND ENROLLMENT

- **1.** Outreach activities to enroll children into public and private insurance coverage include strategies designed and proven to be effective in reaching CYSHCN and their families, and are coordinated with relevant family organizations at the state and community level.
- **2.** Continuity of care is ensured during periods of enrollment and transition such as changes in or temporary loss of insurance coverage (public and private).
- **3.** Written policies and procedures are in place for transitioning CYSHCN between non-network and network providers and communication with the medical home and family to ensure continuity of care.
- **4.** Written policies and procedures are in place allowing CYSHCN who are newly enrolled or have recently changed health plans to continue seeing out-of-network providers, for up to six months after enrollment.°
- **5.** A comprehensive member services program with specialized staff and linkages to relevant family organizations at the state and local level is available to provide information and assistance to CYSHCN and their families in areas including: family resource needs, insurance coverage options, eligibility and enrollment questions, covered and non-covered

#### The ACA requires that states:

- Provide seamless enrollment and transition for eligibility in Medicaid and CHIP°
- Screen for eligibility for Medicaid, the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) and the Insurance Exchange using MAGI (2014) and without multiple eligibility determinations
- Provide a single streamlined eligibility application form for any federal insurance program (Medicaid, CHIP, Insurance Marketplace)
- Establish a Medicaid and CHIP enrollment website that is connected to an Exchange or default to the federal marketplace
- Conduct outreach to and enroll vulnerable and underserved

#### Enrollment: Experience of Care and Health Outcome Survey (ECHO)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>services, navigating the medical and community system of care available for CYSHCN, 14 enrollee status, complaints and grievances, and selection of a primary care provider or other such medical home with experience in serving CYSHCN. 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>populations eligible for medical assistance or for child health assistance, including children, unaccompanied homeless youth, children and youth with special health care needs, racial and ethnic minorities, rural populations, victims of abuse or trauma, individuals with mental health or substance-related disorders, and individuals with HIV/AIDS 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Written and oral information that is provided for purposes of determining insurance eligibility and enrolling a child into public or private insurance coverage is culturally appropriate and provided in a manner and format appropriate for a child or their caregiver, including for those families who have limited English proficiency or sensory impairments. 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACCESS TO CARE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1. The system has the capacity to ensure CYSHCN geographical and timely access to appropriate primary and specialty services, including in-network providers and timely referrals to out-of-network physical, mental and dental care providers, pediatric primary care and pediatric subspecialists, children’s hospitals, pediatric regional centers where available, and ancillary providers. 21 | | | • CMS Draft Rule Requirement for Medicaid  
• EPSDT requirement for transportation  
• MACPAC Reports to Congress  
• Secretary’s Annual Report on Pediatric Health Care Quality  
• Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS)  
• Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS)  
• Promoting Healthy Development Survey (PHDS)  
• National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (NSCYSHCN) | |
| 2. Pediatric specialists who have a demonstrated clinical relationship as the clinical coordinator of care for a CYSHCN, among other responsibilities, are able to serve as a primary care provider (PCP) for CYSHCN. 22 | | | | |
| 3. Freedom of choice in selecting a primary care provider and written policies and procedures describing | | | | |
### SYSTEM DOMAINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| how enrollees choose and are assigned to a PCP, and how they may change their PCP is in place.  
4. Access to pediatric specialists (face-to-face or via telemedicine) specified in a child's plan of care is provided without prior authorization from a child's primary care provider or Contractor (e.g., health plan) whether or not such specialists participate in a Contractor's provider network.  
5. Transportation assistance is provided to families with difficulties accessing needed medical services. | | | |

### MEDICAL HOME

**CYSHCN will receive family-centered, coordinated, ongoing comprehensive care within a medical home.**

**Overall Systems Standard:**
The medical home is ready and willing to provide well, acute and chronic care for all children and youth, including those affected by special health care needs or who hold other risks for compromised health and wellness.

The medical home, comprised of a primary care provider and/or pediatric subspecialist and as part of an integrated care team, does the following:

1. Provide access to health care services 24 hours, seven days a week.
2. Provide health care services that encourage the family to share in decision making, and provide feedback on services provided.
3. Perform comprehensive health assessments.
4. Promote an integrated, team-based model of care coordination.
5. Develop, maintain, and update a comprehensive, integrated plan of care that has been developed with the

- Joint Principles of the Patient Centered Medical Home (See Appendix A)
- Physician Practice Connections (PPC)-Patient Centered Medical Home (PCMH) Goals and Standards, National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) (See Appendix A)
- Medical Home Index (Six Domains), Center for Medical Home Improvement (See Appendix A)
- Family-Centered Care Assessment for Families (FCCA-F), National Center for Family Professional Partnerships
- Standards and Guidelines for NCQA's Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) 2011, NCQA

- NSCYSHCN
- Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS)
- ECHO
- National Initiative for Children’s Healthcare Quality (NICHQ)
### SYSTEM DOMAINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>family and other members of a team, addresses family care clinical goals, encompasses strategies and actions needed across all settings, and is shared effectively with families and among and between providers (See standards for care coordination).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Conduct activities to support CYSHCN and their families in self-management of the child's health and health care.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Promote quality of life, healthy development, and healthy behaviors across all life stages.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Integrate care with other providers and ensure that information is shared effectively with families and among and between providers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Perform care tracking, including sending of proactive reminders to families and clinicians of services needed, via a registry or other mechanism.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Provide care that is effective and based on evidence, where applicable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PEDIATRIC PREVENTIVE &amp; PRIMARY CARE (as part of the medical home)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1. Bright Futures Guidelines for screening and well child care including oral health and mental health services are followed. | Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children, and Adolescents, American Academy of Pediatrics | The ACA requires all private plans to cover preventive services for infants, children and adolescents according to Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children, and Adolescents without cost sharing. | • NSCYSHCN  
• HEDIS  
• CAHPS |
| 2. Care focuses on overall health, wellness and prevention of secondary conditions, especially for CYSHCN whose care tends to center on a particular condition. | | | |
| 3. All children, including CYSHCN, have access to medically necessary | | | |
### Standards for Systems of Care for CYSHCN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **System Standards** | **services to promote optimal growth and development, maintain and avert deterioration in functioning, and to prevent, detect, diagnose, treat, ameliorate, or palliate the effects of physical, genetic, congenital, developmental, behavioral, or mental conditions, injuries, or disabilities.**  
4. All children, including CYSHCN, receive recommended immunizations according to the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP).  
5. Reasonable access to routine, episodic, urgent, and emergent physical, oral health and mental health care are provided.  
6. Reasonable wait times and same day appointments are available for physical, oral health, and mental health care.  
7. Accommodations for special needs such as provision of home visits versus office visits are available.  
8. Scheduling systems that recognize the additional time involved in caring for CYSHCN exist.  
9. Pre-visit assessments are completed with the family to ensure that the medical home team provides family-centered care and is better able to make necessary referrals. |                                                                                                                                         |                                            |                                                 |
## Care Coordination (as part of the medical home and integrated with community-based services)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. All CYSHCN have access to patient and family-centered care coordination.  
2. To provide optimal coordination and integration of services needed by the child and family, care coordinators:  
   - serve as a member of the medical home team \(^{43,44}\)  
   - assist in managing care transitions of CYSHCN across settings and developmental stages \(^{45}\)  
   - provide appropriate resources to match the health literacy level, primary language, and culture of CYSHCN and their family \(^{46}\)  
3. A plan of care is jointly developed and shared among the primary care provider and/or the specialist serving as the principal coordinating physician, and the CYSHCN and their family, and implemented jointly by the child, their family and the appropriate members of the health care team. \(^{47}\) The plan of care:  
   - addresses the physical, oral health and mental health problems identified as a result of the initial and ongoing evaluation and describes the implementation and coordination of all services required by the CYSHCN and their family \(^{48}\)  
   - identifies the strengths and needs of the child and family; | • Definition of Care Coordination \(^{52}\) (See Appendix A)  
• National Quality Forum Framework for Care Coordination \(^{53}\) (See Appendix A)  
• Key Elements of High-Performing Pediatric Care Coordination Framework \(^{54}\) (See Appendix A)  
• The Functions of Care Coordination \(^{55}\)  
(See Appendix A)  
• 2013 Special Needs Plans Structure and Process Measures (See SNP Element 1), National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) \(^{56}\)  
• A Standardized Approach to a Shared Plan of Care \(^{57}\) | • NSCYSHCN  
• CAHPS |
## System Domains

### System Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>incorporates and states their goals with clinical goals; and guides the roles, activities, and functions of the family, and the care team</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is routinely evaluated and updated in partnership with the family as needed but no less frequently than every six (6) months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clearly identifies and delineates the roles, responsibilities, and accountabilities of all entities that participate in a child’s care coordination activities. These entities include but are not limited to physical, oral health and mental health care providers and programs, acute care facilities as needed, and other community organizations providing services and supports to the child and family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Is maintained and updated with evaluative oversight and should be used to make timely referrals and track receipt of services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Specialty Care (integrated with the medical home and community-based services)</td>
<td>1. Shared management of CYSHCN between pediatric primary care and specialty providers is permitted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Where needed, systems such as satellite programs, electronic communications, and telemedicine are used to enhance access to specialty care, regional pediatric centers of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEM DOMAINS</td>
<td>System Standards (Structure and Process)</td>
<td>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</td>
<td>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</td>
<td>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>excellence where available, and other multidisciplinary teams of pediatric specialty providers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Physical health, oral health and mental health are coordinated and integrated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Pediatric centers of care (e.g., cardiac, regional genetics, end stage renal disease, perinatal care, transplants, hematology/oncology, pulmonary, craniofacial, and neuromuscular) are available to CYSHCN and their families when needed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. The system serving CYSHCN includes Title V CYSHCN programs, LEND and UCEDD Centers for individuals ¹ with developmental disabilities, where available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Durable medical equipment and home health services are customized for CYSHCN.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. A “full continuum” of services for children’s behavioral health needs, including acute services in a 24-hour hour clinical setting, intermediate services, and outpatient services and community support services are provided. ⁵⁹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Leadership Education in Neurodevelopmental and Related Disabilities Programs (LENDs) are interdisciplinary leadership training programs Federally funded through HRSA’s MCHB. LEND programs operate within a university system, usually as part of a University Center for Excellence (UCEDD). UCEDDs collaborate with local university hospitals and/or health care centers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICES AND SUPPORTS</td>
<td>CYSHCN and their families are provided access to comprehensive home and community-based supports, provided by their health plan and/or in partnership with other community agencies including family organizations, public health, education, Early Intervention (Part C), Special Education, child welfare, mental health, and home health care organizations. 1. Agreements are in place between the health systems and various community agencies and programs serving CYSHCN and are structured to: o promote family support through linking families to family organizations and other services and supports o promote shared financing o establish systems for timely communications and appropriate data sharing o ensure access and coordination of services for individual children and their families o promote collaboration between community-based organizations and agencies, providers, health care systems, and families o specify responsibilities across the various providers, and community-based agencies serving children and their families</td>
<td>Ease of Use Framework&lt;sup&gt;61&lt;/sup&gt; (See Appendix A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>60</sup> A product of the National Consensus Framework for Systems of Care for CYSHCN Project
### System Domains

#### System Standards

**(Structure and Process)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Respite Care**     | 1. Respite services, both planned and emergency, are available to all families and caregivers of CYSHCN.  
                       | 2. Families are informed about available respite services and helped to access them.  
                       | 3. When out-of-home respite services are needed, transportation is available to help a child and family access these services.  
                       | 4. Health providers and plans screen families and caregivers of CYSHCN for respite care needs, make them aware of available respite services in their community, and provide them with appropriate and timely referrals to providers that are qualified to serve CYSHCN.  
                       | 5. Health providers and plans have a system in place for ensuring timely referrals for families of CYSHCN with emergency respite needs. |
| **Palliative and Hospice Care** | 1. Curative and palliative care (also known as concurrent care) is available and offered at the same time.  
                                    | 2. Palliative and hospice care utilizes family-centered models of care that respect individual’s preferences, value, and cultural beliefs, and provide family access to psychosocial screening and referrals to needed supports and services.  
                                    | 3. The child and family plays an active role in decision making regarding goals and plans of care. |
Standards for Systems of Care for CYSHCN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HOME-BASED SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pay for both curative and hospice services for children under 21 who qualify (termed “Concurrent Care for Children” Requirement (CCCR))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Home health care is a covered benefit for CYSHCN that includes health care for the child and supportive care for the family, and is provided in the family’s home by licensed professionals who have experience in pediatric care.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| FAMILY PROFESSIONAL PARTNERSHIPS | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Families of CYSHCN will partner in decision making at all levels and will be satisfied with the services they receive. | | | |
| 1. Families are active, core members of the medical home team. | | | |
| 2. Family priorities and concerns are central to care planning and management. | | | |
| 3. Families are connected to family organizations, peer support and family support programs. | | | |
| 4. Family strengths, including cultural and ethnic identities, are respected in the delivery of care. | | | |
| 5. Care is delivered in culturally appropriate ways, respecting family desires for inclusion of extended family members in decision making. | | | |
| | | • The EPSDT benefit requires coverage of medically necessary personal care, private duty nursing, and physical, occupational and speech-language therapy in the home or other community-based settings, as appropriate for the individual child | |
| | | • NSCYSHCN | |
| | | • PHDS | |
| | | • CAHPS | |
| | | • ECHO | |
| | | • CMHI | |
| | | • Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant Guidance, Form 13 | |
### SYSTEM DOMAINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Families receive information about the services and supports that they will receive in a method chosen by the family (e.g., written, verbal, language of choice).&lt;sup&gt;71&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. All written materials provided to CYSHCN and their families are culturally appropriate, provided in the primary language of the CYSHCN and their family, and provided in a manner and format appropriate for children and their parents or caregivers who have limited English proficiency or sensory impairments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Health systems that serve CYSHCN solicit feedback from the family and children on the experience of care that they receive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Health systems that serve CYSHCN have a family advisory board or committee, inclusive of families of CYSHCN that guides their policies, programs and quality improvement activities and offers family members training, mentoring, and reimbursement for their participation as active members of these boards and committees.&lt;sup&gt;72&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TRANSITION TO ADULTHOOD

**Youth with special health care needs receive the services necessary to make transitions to all aspects of adult life, including adult health care, work, and independence.**

**Pediatric Setting:**

1. A policy and process for transition preparation and planning for youth with special health care needs (YSHCN) and their families as they prepare to move between pediatric and adult care systems is in place within the health plan and medical home.<sup>72</sup> YSHCN are encouraged to learn about and engage in their health care as part of this process.

- AAP/AAFP/ACP Clinical Report on Transition<sup>74</sup>
- Six Core Elements of Health Care Transition (Version 2.0)<sup>75</sup> (See Appendix A)

| | | | NSCYSHCN |
## System Standards (Structure and Process)

<p>| 2. | An individual flow sheet or registry for identifying transitioning YSHCN is maintained by the health plan and medical home to track completion of the transition process. |
| 3. | A transition readiness assessment and plan of care, including a medical summary and emergency care plan, are first developed when a YSHCN reaches age 14, and then regularly updated in partnership with the youth and her/his family or caregiver. A family’s cultural beliefs are respected in the development of the transition plan. |
| 4. | A transfer package including a final transition readiness assessment, plan of care with transition goals, and medical summary and emergency plan is prepared and communicated with the new adult medical home, prior to the transfer of a YSHCN from a pediatric to an adult medical home. |
| 5. | The pediatric medical home is available for consultation assistance with the adult medical home, as needed. |
| 6. | A process is in place in the pediatric medical home to ensure that follow-up of a YSHCN is provided 3 – 6 months after transfer to an adult medical home to confirm transfer and elicit feedback on their transition experience. |
| 7. | Transition quality improvement includes collaboration and, for some YSHCN, co-management between pediatric and adult health care providers. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Adult Setting:** | 1. A policy describing the adult medical home approach to accepting and partnering with new YSHCN, including legal changes at age 18, is in place.  
2. An individual flow sheet or registry for identifying transitioning YSHCN through 26 years of age is maintained by the health plan and medical home to track completion of the transition process.  
3. A process for identifying providers who are interested in caring for YSHCN exists at the adult medical home and health plan levels.  
4. A process for welcoming and orienting young adults exists within the adult medical home.  
5. A process for confirming the transfer between the pediatric and adult medical home is in place to assist the YSHCN with ongoing care management and referral to adult specialists and other community supports and elicit feedback about their experience of care. | | | |
| **HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY** | 1. Electronic health record systems meet meaningful use requirements.  
2. Medical homes have the capacity for electronic health information and exchange, including maintenance of clinical information.  
3. Families have easy access to their electronic health information and the opportunity to contribute to the record.  
4. HIT systems incorporate the five specific health policy priorities of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid |  |  |  |

* Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH) enacted as part of the American Recovery and Investment Act of 2009 (ARRA) outlines Title IV of Division B of ARRA which authorizes infrastructure creation.

CMS Stage 1 and Stage 2 “meaningful use” criteria includes clinical quality measures.
### SYSTEM DOMAINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services: improving quality, safety, and efficiency; improving patient access to care; improving care coordination; improving public health; and ensuring privacy and security protections for personal health insurance. 77</td>
<td>to promote nationwide adoption of “meaningful use” of certified electronic health records (EHR) technology. “Meaningful use” is promoted via incentive payments for Medicare and Medicaid providers who become certified “meaningful users”.78,79,80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. To promote care coordination across providers and systems serving children, electronic health information should be accessible, retrievable, and available, and use a documented process for exchanging health information across care settings that includes an agreement about exchanging information, the types of information to be exchanged, time frames for exchanging information, and how the health plan facilitates referrals.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act outlines requirements regarding confidentiality and sharing of information.81,82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### QUALITY ASSURANCE AND IMPROVEMENT

| QUALITY ASSURANCE AND IMPROVEMENT | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Health plans and insurers have a specific and ongoing quality assurance (QA) and quality improvement (QI) process in place for CYSHCN and their families. This includes: | to promote nationwide adoption of “meaningful use” of certified electronic health records (EHR) technology. “Meaningful use” is promoted via incentive payments for Medicare and Medicaid providers who become certified “meaningful users”.78,79,80 | • Federal law requires state Medicaid programs using managed care organizations (MCOs) or prepaid inpatient health plans (PIHPs) to develop and update a quality strategy that includes standards for access to care, health plan structure and operations, and quality measurement and improvement | • CAHPS • Agency for Health Care Research, Center for Outcomes and Evidence |
| o families of CYSHCN as members of the primary care provider and health plan QI teams | | • Part 438 of the federal | |
| o periodic monitoring of network provider capacity to ensure the full continuum of children’s physical, oral health and mental health needs are met on a timely basis and promote geographic accessibility to needed services83 | | | |
## System Standards (Structure and Process)

- Care by CYSHCN and their families, appropriateness of care for CYSHCN, and compliance with all system standards for CYSHCN.
  - Experience of care surveys of families of CYSHCN and youth (including targeted feedback from relevant racial/ethnic and language groups) to obtain their feedback and assess their experiences with care.
  - Assessment of out of pocket expenses and lost work burden on families.
  - Assessment of child outcomes including measures of health and functional status.

1. Child medical record reviews include a sample of CYSHCN.
2. The utilization review and appeals processes for CYSHCN include members of a child’s integrated care team.

## Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law

- Medicaid regulations require that states using a managed care delivery system must have a written quality strategy for assessing and improving the quality of managed care services.

## Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures

- Medicaid regulations require that states using a managed care delivery system must have a written quality strategy for assessing and improving the quality of managed care services.

## Insurance and Financing

1. Insurance plans for CYSHCN are affordable and have cost-sharing policies that protect CYSHCN and their families from financial strain and are without risk of loss of benefits.
2. Coverage and payment levels are adequate to facilitate access to habilitative services.

- National Association of Insurance Commissioners Definition of habilitative Services: “health care services that help a person keep, learn or improve skills and functioning for daily living.”
- The Essential Health Benefits provisions of the ACA designate mandatory classes of benefits including “rehabilitative and habilitative services and devices.”

---

2 Under Part 438 of the federal Medicaid regulations, states using a managed care delivery system must have a written quality strategy for assessing and improving the quality of managed care services offered. States must solicit recipient and stakeholder participation in the development of the quality strategy. The strategy must include, at a minimum, quality standards and measures, procedures to assess quality of care, regular monitoring of managed care plans for compliance with standards, and an annual, external independent review of quality. The quality standards must include but are not limited to access standards, assurance of adequate capacity and services, and coordination and continuity of care. States must implement a mechanism for identifying children with special health care needs to managed care plans. The managed care plans must then provide assessments and direct access to specialists.
### Standards for Systems of Care for CYSHCN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAINS</th>
<th>System Standards (Structure and Process)</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</th>
<th>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</th>
<th>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pediatric primary care and comprehensive and coordinated medical subspecialty and surgical specialty services; developmental, behavioral, and mental health services; inpatient and emergency department care; home health care; dental care; and other specialized pediatric services within a medical home model of care.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. All children, including CYSHCN, have access to medically necessary services to promote optimal growth and development, maintain and avert deterioration in functioning, and to prevent, detect, diagnose, treat, ameliorate, or palliate the effects of physical, genetic, congenital, developmental, behavioral, or mental conditions, injuries, or disabilities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Comprehensive habilitative services include therapies, services and devices for a child, based upon their individual needs, to prevent and avert deterioration of functioning or attain or maintain a skill or function never learned or acquired due to a chronic or disabling condition, including those early intervention services specified in a child’s Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP as part of Early Intervention) and the services outlined in a school-age child’s Individualized Education Plan (IEP as part of Special Education) are available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Habilitative services are a covered benefit and offered in addition to rehabilitative services, and are of like type and substantially equivalent in scope, amount and duration to rehabilitative services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Provider payment policies promote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEM DOMAINS</td>
<td>System Standards (Structure and Process)</td>
<td>Existing National Principles and Frameworks</td>
<td>Federal Requirements or Relevant Federal Law</td>
<td>Overall Availability of Relevant Quality Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>recruitment and retention of primary care providers (e.g., pediatricians, pediatric nurse practitioners) and pediatric medical and surgical specialists and serve to incentivize providers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Authorization processes are flexible to the unique aspects of CYSHCN and simplified to promote access to services.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Families of CYSHCN may seek second opinions from qualified health care providers without restrictions to such opinions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Performance or financial incentives are in place to promote medical homes and care coordination and enhance access to services and promote quality.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Appendix A: Additional Resources for Existing National System Definitions, Principles, and/or Frameworks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SYSTEM DOMAIN</th>
<th>Existing National Principles and/or Frameworks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCREENING, ASSESSMENT, AND</td>
<td>Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children, and Adolescents[^98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REFERRAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCESS TO CARE</td>
<td>Core Set of Children's Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid and CHIP (Child Core Set)[^99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL HOME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCQA Goals:[^100]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Enhance access and continuity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Identify and manage patient populations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Plan and manage care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Provide self-care and community support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Track and coordinate care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Measure and improve performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCQA Medical Home Standards:[^101]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Access and Communication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Patient Tracking and Registry Functions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Care Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Patient Self-Management Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Electronic Prescribing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Test Tracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Referral Tracking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Performance Reporting and Improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Advanced Electronic Communications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Home Index Domains:[^102]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Organizational Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Chronic Condition Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Care Coordination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Community Outreach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Data Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Quality Improvement/Change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Principles of the Patient Centered Medical Home:[^103]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Personal physician – each patient has an ongoing relationship with a personal physician trained to provide first contact, continuous and comprehensive care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Physician directed medical practice – the personal physician leads a team of individuals at the practice level who collectively take responsibility for the ongoing care of patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Whole person orientation – the personal physician is responsible for providing for all the patient’s health care needs or taking responsibility for appropriately arranging care with other qualified professionals. This includes care for all stages of life; acute care; chronic care; preventive services; and end of life care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Care is coordinated and/or integrated across all elements of the complex health care system (e.g., subspecialty care, hospitals, home health agencies, nursing homes) and the patient’s community (e.g., family, public and private community-based services). Care is facilitated by registries, information technology, health information exchange and other means to assure that patients get the indicated care when and where they need and want it in a culturally and linguistically appropriate manner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Quality and safety are hallmarks of the medical home:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Practices advocate for their patients to support the attainment of optimal, patient-centered outcomes that are defined by a care planning process driven by a compassionate, robust partnership between physicians, patients, and the patient’s family</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Evidence-based medicine and clinical decision-support tools guide decision making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Physicians in the practice accept accountability for continuous quality improvement through voluntary engagement in performance measurement and improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patients actively participate in decision-making and feedback is sought to ensure patients’ expectations are being met</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Information technology is utilized appropriately to support optimal patient care, performance measurement, patient education, and enhanced communication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Practices go through a voluntary recognition process by an appropriate non-governmental entity to demonstrate that they have the capabilities to provide patient centered services consistent with the medical home model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patients and families participate in quality improvement activities at the practice level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enhanced access to care is available through systems such as open scheduling, expanded hours and new options for communication between patients, their personal physician, and practice staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Payment appropriately recognizes the added value provided to patients who have a patient-centered medical home. The payment structure should be based on the following framework:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• It should reflect the value of physician and non-physician staff patient-centered care management work that falls outside of the face-to-face visit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • It should pay for services associated with coordination of care both within a given practice and between consultants,
ancillary providers, and community resources
- It should support adoption and use of health information technology for quality improvement
- It should support provision of enhanced communication access such as secure e-mail and telephone consultation
- It should recognize the value of physician work associated with remote monitoring of clinical data using technology
- It should allow for separate fee-for-service payments for face-to-face visits. (Payments for care management services that fall outside of the face-to-face visit, as described above, should not result in a reduction in the payments for face-to-face visits)
- It should recognize case mix differences in the patient population being treated within the practice
- It should allow physicians to share in savings from reduced hospitalizations associated with physician-guided care management in the office setting
- It should allow for additional payments for achieving measurable and continuous quality improvements

### PEDIATRIC AND PREVENTIVE PRIMARY CARE (as part of the medical home)

**Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children, and Adolescents**

### CARE COORDINATION

>Pediatric care coordination is a patient-and family-centered, assessment-driven, team-based activity designed to meet the needs of children and youth while enhancing the caregiving capabilities of families. Care coordination addresses interrelated medical, social, developmental, behavioral, educational, and financial needs in order to achieve optimal health and wellness outcomes.”

### National Quality Forum Framework for Care Coordination

- A proactive plan of care that includes follow-up monitoring of progress toward patient-specific goals
- Communication between and among all members of the health care team and the patient, emphasizing shared decision-making with families
- Use of standardized, electronic information systems
- Coordinated efforts to optimize safety and accuracy during handoffs, or transfers between health care settings

### Key Elements of High-Performing Pediatric Care Coordination Framework

1. Needs assessment for care coordination and continuing care coordination engagement
2. Care planning and communication
3. Facilitating care transitions (inpatient, ambulatory)
4. Connecting with community resources and schools
5. Transition to adult care

### The Functions of Care Coordination

1. Establish relationships with children, youth, and families through introductory visits dedicated to setting expectations for care coordination
2. Promote communication with families and among professional partners, and define minimal intervals between communications
3. Complete a child/youth and family assessment
4. Working with the family, develop a written care plan, including a medical summary, action plan, and, if needed, an emergency plan, that reflects mutual goals
5. Arrange for, set up, and coordinate referrals, and track referrals and test results
6. Provide condition-specific and related medical, financial, educational, and social supportive resource information, while coaching for the transfer of skills supportive of partnerships with families to care for their children and youth
7. Ensure the health care team integrates multiple sources of health care information; communicate this summary, thereby building caregiver skills and fostering relationships between the health care team and families
8. Support and facilitate all care transitions from practice to practice and from the pediatric to adult systems of care
9. Coordinate family-centered team meetings (across organizations as needed)
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10. Use health information technology to effectively deliver and continually monitor care coordination and the effectiveness of service delivery

The Ten Steps for Plan of Care Development:

COMMUNITY-BASED SERVICES AND SUPPORTS

Ease of Use Framework:

1. Universality of System: Engages all eligible families and CYSHCN:
   a. Identification of families and youth eligible for services
   b. Effective outreach specifically tailored to families/CYSHCN
   c. Screening and referral promote utilization and access
   d. Continuous monitoring and assessment of sub-populations in need

2. Accessibility of Services: Families and CYSHCN get the services they need:
   a. Services are available to families and CYSHCN
   b. Labor/Workforce Development activities are tied to needs of families/CYSHCN
   c. Supply of providers/specialists meets population needs
   d. Competencies of providers/specialists
   e. Providers/specialists accept FCYSHCN (Families of CYSHCN) into practice
   f. Services are convenient for FCYSHCN

3. Value of Services: Services are valued by families and CYSHCN and make measurable advances in functioning and development:
   a. Family/CYSHCN experience indicators are included in quality assessments
   b. Family and child/youth satisfaction ratings are acted upon to improve service delivery
   c. CYSHCN measures of functioning in school, home, and community are outcomes of services
   d. Services for CYSHCN are measured for improving growth and development
   e. Community based services meet the full comprehensive needs of families and CYSHCN

4. Affordability: Services are affordable and enable families to maintain economic security:
   a. Direct costs of services are reasonable
   b. Direct costs are predictable
   c. Indirect costs of services are contained (such as but not limited to travel costs, family time off from work, child time out of school, job attachment, and time spent acquiring or waiting for services is minimal)

RESPITE CARE

Definition: Planned or emergency care provided to a child or adult with a special need in order to provide temporary relief to the family caregiver of that child or adult.

National Respite Guidelines: Guiding Principles for Respite Models and Services

Principles of Quality Respite Care:

1. Respite is available to all families and caregivers. Respite should be available to any caregiver providing ongoing care for individuals of any age with any physical or mental disability, chronic or terminal illness, or other special need, or at risk of abuse or neglect, regardless of family income, race, gender or situation

2. Respite is accessible. Respite should be easily accessible by caregivers when, how, and where it is needed

3. Respite is affordable. Sufficient resources should be available to ensure that all caregivers have access to high quality respite services

4. Planned and emergency respite is available. Respite is also an important component of a continuum of comprehensive family support services available to caregivers not only on a planned basis, but also in emergency situations

5. Families have an array of options and can choose respite services that meet their unique needs. A wide array of respite options, including in-home and out-of-home, and a diverse pool of providers that meet families’ needs should be available. Culturally competent providers should be available to all families. Caregivers should be free to choose their respite providers
6. Respite systems address capacity issues and ensure enough providers are available. The current supply of individuals available to provide respite is inadequate in many communities, especially respite for individuals with mental illness or severe medical conditions, or in some rural and urban areas.

7. Respite systems ensure caregivers are aware of respite and know how to access respite. Adequate outreach and support services should be made available to increase caregiver awareness about available respite options and community resources.

8. Respite systems empower caregivers to select, hire and train competent providers. A mechanism should be in place to support and assist caregivers in the process of selecting providers to meet their individual needs.

9. Respite systems are made up of agencies and individuals committed to addressing gaps and barriers in services.

10. Respite systems should include families, stakeholders, agencies and community-based partners.

11. Respite is high quality. All available and accessible respite options should be of high quality and ensure the safety of the individual being cared for. Services are evaluated and feedback from families drives program improvements.

**PALLIATIVE AND HOSPICE CARE**

**NHPCO Guiding Principles for Pediatric Palliative Care and Hospice:**

1. **Patient and Family Centered Care:** The palliative care and/or hospice interdisciplinary team provides family centered care that includes the child and family as one unit of care, respecting individual preferences, values, and cultural beliefs, with the child and family active in decision making regarding goals and plan of care.

2. **Ethical Behavior and Consumer Rights:** The best interests of the child shall be the primary consideration in decision making.

3. **Clinical Excellence and Safety:** Health professionals providing pediatric palliative care and/or hospice have a responsibility to pursue comfort aggressively and minimize the child’s physical, psychosocial, and spiritual pain and suffering.

4. **Organization Excellence:** Flexibility in pediatric program design and service delivery facilitates access to services for children. A pediatric palliative care and/or hospice model that offers multiple support services over time and across settings ensures enhanced access for this underserved population.

5. **Workforce Excellence:** The organization’s leadership develops and monitors systems to ensure that pediatric palliative care and/or hospice interdisciplinary team members, including volunteers, are adequately trained, staffed and supported to provide the services offered by the program, and that sufficient support is in place for staff to engage in routine self-care.

6. **Standards:** Palliative care and/or hospice programs adopt the NHPCO Standards of Practice for Hospice Programs, and utilize the appendix “Standards of Practice for Pediatric Palliative Care and Hospice” as the foundation for their pediatric care.

7. **Performance Measurement:** The program develops, defines and utilizes a systematic approach to improving performance. This approach is authorized and supported by the program’s governing body and leaders. The approach assures that information is collected and analyzed, actively uses performance measurement data to foster quality assessment performance improvement, and is specific to pediatric patients being served.

**TRANSITION TO ADULTHOOD**

Sample tools to implement the Six Core Elements of Health Care Transition (Version 2.0) are available at [www.gottransition.org](http://www.gottransition.org).

**Six Core Elements of Health Care Transition (Version 2.0):**

1. Transition Policy
2. Transitioning Tracking and Monitoring
3. Transition Readiness
4. Transition Planning
5. Transfer of Care
6. Transition Completion

**HABILITATIVE SERVICES**

**National Association of Insurance Commissioners:**

Definition of habilitative services: “health care services that help a person keep, learn or improve skills and functioning for daily living.”
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