Care Coordination for Children With Medical Complexity: Whose Care Is It, Anyway?

Dennis Z. Kuo, MD, MHS, a Jeanne W. McAllister, BSN, MS, MHA, b Lisa Rossignol, MA, c Renee M. Turchi, MD, MPH, d Christopher J. Stille, MD, MPH e

aDepartment of Pediatrics, Jacobs School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York; bDepartment of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana; cThe New Mexico Disability Story, Albuquerque, New Mexico; dDepartment of Pediatrics, St. Christopher’s Hospital for Children and Dornsife School of Public Health, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and eDepartment of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Denver, Denver, Colorado

Children with medical complexity (CMC) have multiple chronic conditions and require an array of medical- and community-based providers. Dedicated care coordination is increasingly seen as key to addressing the fragmented care that CMC often encounter. Often conceptually misunderstood, care coordination is a team-driven activity that organizes and drives service integration. In this article, we examine models of care coordination and clarify related terms such as care integration and case management. The location of care coordination resources for CMC may range from direct practice provision to external organizations such as hospitals and accountable care organizations. We discuss the need for infrastructure building, design and implementation leadership, use of care coordination tools and training modules, and appropriate resource allocation under new payment models.
One in 5 American families have a child or youth with special health care needs, defined as a child who has medical care needs beyond those of typical children. Children with medical complexity (CMC) are a subset of this group: those who have multiple chronic conditions that often require the care of an array of community- and hospital-based providers. The current fragmentation of existing health care systems frequently makes it difficult for families of CMC to get the services they need. Depending on the severity of the child’s illness or condition, families can spend considerable time communicating among providers and across systems, assimilating recommendations, coordinating appointments, addressing insurance and financial issues, or performing therapeutic activities. In addition, families often have to juggle these tasks while caring for additional children in the household. High-quality services may be individually offered from multiple entities across systems, but integration and coordination of relationships between families and service providers is often lacking.

Care coordination is increasingly emerging as an “answer” to health care and community system fragmentation. In recent years, the Affordable Care Act has encouraged and incentivized care coordination services to patients with higher levels of medical complexity. The Affordable Care Act also encouraged the development of both high-performing primary care practices, also known as patient-centered medical homes, and accountable care organizations that would then provide care coordination services. In pediatrics, the focus of care coordination is often directed toward CMC because of their disproportionate use of multiple health care services required to maximize their potential. Outpatient care coordination, in which the bulk of health care is delivered, improves quality of care and health outcomes, through proactive care planning, more efficient use of resources, and prevention of unnecessary health care encounters. Implementation of care coordination, particularly for CMC, remains challenging because of personnel training needs, staffing requirements, varying levels of family engagement, scope of care coordination duties, payment and/or sustainability, and care models that are largely adult focused.

This article represents a group effort of physicians, family advocates, and health policy experts. We define optimal care coordination, care planning, and care integration and discuss their intersection with other concepts such as case management and care management. We discuss best practices and provide an overview of the implementation process to achieve the most optimal outcomes and values for CMC. Finally, we review payment models emerging to support the concepts of care coordination and planning for CMC.

**DEFINITIONS AND TERMS**

Care coordination is a broadly attractive concept meaning different things to different providers, consumers, and payers, and many different activities are often performed under the umbrella of care coordination. Definitions of care coordination have been derived from varied sources, including families requesting help with unmet needs, payer attempts to allocate scarce resources, health care organizations streamlining care within their own systems, and community organizations working to meet patient and family needs within the constraints of regulatory and payer systems. It is important to clarify the concept of care coordination and distinguish it from related concepts like care integration and case management.

Antonelli, et al in 2009 published a framework outlining the definition and scope of care coordination, which was reiterated in the current policy statement by the American Academy of Pediatrics. The framework defines care coordination as follows:

> [A] patient and family-centered, assessment-driven, team-based activity designed to meet the needs of children and youth while enhancing the care giving capabilities of families. Care coordination addresses interrelated medical, social, developmental, behavioral, educational and financial needs to achieve optimal health and wellness outcomes.

Four defining characteristics of care coordination are provided:
1. family-centeredness;
2. planned, proactive, and comprehensive focus;
3. promotion of self-care skills and independence; and
4. emphasis on cross-organizational relationships.

Several aspects of the Antonelli framework merit further discussion. First, care coordination should incorporate the perspective of the family and center around their goals, but the degree to which care coordination is operationalized to be family-centered varies by program, practice, agency, and/or system. For example, some care coordination programs may focus on access and adherence to specialty appointments or therapies but may not address the needs of patients and families outside the medical care sector. Second, assessments of service needs may be formal, structured, or informal, but are best integrated into routine care delivery as standard practice. Third, “team-based” care coordination emphasizes the importance of multiple roles in care coordination, including parents and/or caregivers, primary care providers, and their team that ideally includes a designated care coordinator, specialists, educators, pharmacists, mental health providers, therapeutic support services, and others. Finally, optimal care coordination needs to recognize and address psychosocial determinants of health and their...
contribution to optimal health and wellness.

The difference between care coordination and a related term, care integration, warrants discussion (Table 1). Broadly, care coordination can be thought of as the activities that produce or foster care integration. Care coordination refers specifically to the activities occurring across primary care and specialty visits, hospital admissions, and accessing community resources. In contrast, care integration is the result of effective coordination: “the seamless provision of health care services, from the perspective of the patient and family, across the entire care continuum. It results from coordinating the efforts of all providers, irrespective of institutional, departmental, or community-based organizational boundaries.”10 Care integration is easiest to achieve when patients and families are fully engaged in care and negotiating goals and priorities together, and when collaborative care models (such as mental health integration) are readily available for patients and families. Care coordination, by providing communication and support between visits and addressing social determinants of health, is necessary (but not always sufficient) to achieve full care integration of health care services for patients and families.

Case management is often used interchangeably with care coordination, but conceptually may have a narrower focus. Case management has frequently been operationalized as management and treatment of a specific disease process or condition such as diabetes, cancer, or cerebral palsy, and it is often focused on allocation of limited resources for a specific patient.11 Case management services may be located in an inpatient setting, private practice, ambulatory setting, within managed care organizations, Title V programs, or in specialty clinics such as nephrology or endocrinology. Case managers often allocate resources intrinsic to their specific agency within the parameters of eligibility criteria.10 These positions have some variance of professional qualifications, but they tend to be social workers or registered nurses with specialized skills and knowledge specific to the populations they serve.12

Care management has been described as a team-based, patient-centered, system-level approach to populations, “designed to assist patients and their support systems in managing medical conditions more effectively.”13 Care management encompasses identification of at-risk populations, alignment of appropriate services, and training of specific providers, with the aim of alleviating the negative effects of care fragmentation.13,14 Some health care initiatives apply combinations of care management and care coordination activities as population- and patient-level activities.14 The Antonelli and American Academy of Pediatrics frameworks of care coordination highlight provider-family partnership, combined with a team process critical for high-functioning care delivery across medical, education, payment, and other systems; these elements are drivers of health for the child and family and frequently encompass social determinants of health. Thus, we believe that this broad definition of care coordination is functionally similar to “care management,” particularly in its direct support of family functioning and activities that are external of direct service access or specific disease processes. A key difference is the application of care coordination with and for individual patients, with care coordination being a specific activity that may reside within a care management strategy for populations. We would like to caution all participants in the health care community to be thoughtful in using appropriate terms for each function and advocate discarding emerging terms that further complicate understanding of systems of care for patients, families, and providers.

Finally, care mapping can be a useful approach to elucidate why quality care coordination is needed for CMC. At the level of the individual patient, care mapping is a visual, detailed account of patient and family needs and strengths and demonstrates the interactive relationships among the various team members and resources at both the micro- and macrosystem level.15 A care map portrays the family in the center of the multiple people, processes, interventions, organizations, and methods by which communication and interventions must occur (Fig 1).16 Training providers and families in care mapping can be a valuable exercise to actively engage families and activate teams.

INFRASTRUCTURE FOR CARE COORDINATION AND PLANNING

Effective care coordination and care planning for CMC may occur in a number of settings, including the primary care practice, the tertiary care center, or an organization external to the practice setting, such as community agencies. Regardless of the setting, care coordination activities are supported by adequate staffing, assessment tools, information technology, and training with infrastructure supportive of family-provider collaborative partnerships. This requires leadership, adequate planning, and time for structured, studied implementation. Planning for care coordination at the organization level needs to be methodical and inclusive, use available tools, and be incorporated within an integrated workflow design.17 A key first step includes an assessment at the level of the primary care practice, the
A highly performing care team, with engagement of patients, families, and community partners in all phases is paramount for successful care planning as part of the larger care coordination process. Such care team members include clinical and nonclinical professionals as well as family members with specific, defined roles and dedicated time and effort specific to care planning. Staff roles and contributions should be defined and understood by all members. Ensuring that team members work to the “top of their license” fosters cost-effectiveness and efficiency. For example, measuring team activities may reveal that a nurse is spending hours per day faxing orders and prescriptions, precluding his or her ability to provide higher levels of care coordination and clinical care. Key community resources external to the organization, such as mental health agencies, schools, equipment providers, and home nursing services, are important to collaborate with as well.

Implementation requires modeling of care coordination competencies and functions while detailing specific processes. Existing tools for care coordination activities, select models supported by a staff training curriculum, an implementation design process map, and a biopsychosocial patient and/or family assessment can standardize processes. A flexible and modifiable care coordination curriculum...
exists to train health care teams to
develop competencies such as care
planning, integration with behavioral
health, and safe transitions of
care (transition from inpatient to
outpatient and to the adult sector). 9
Developing patient registries for
disease and population management,
using team huddles, 29 scheduling
regular team meetings with role
definitions, leveraging health
information systems and technology,
and applying measurement tools are
key activities. 30

Care coordination and care
planning for CMC requires tailoring
resources to children’s needs on
the basis of assessments by a team
member who is broadly familiar
with the child and family. Health
information and/or data exchanges
as well as interoperable electronic
health records can assist with
identification of needs and facilitate
care coordination communication
when accessible. The advent of
electronic health records can afford
real-time access to updated plans of
care, including on smartphones and
tables. Patient portals may afford
opportunities for health care teams
to share aspects of the health care
record with patients and families
including care plans after their visit
in virtually any location if they have
access to the Internet. 31, 32 Families
can still be given paper copies of
plans of care as well as other tools to
promote their roles as active team
members.

IMPLEMENTATION

Implementation of care coordination
and care planning can be complex
because of the multiple components
care that CMC need and/or
experience. Care coordination may
create rather than solve problems if
or when multiple well-meaning care
coordinators act independently. The
concept of relational coordination 33
was originally framed to describe
communication and collaboration
among defined roles within
the airline industry. Relational
coordination emphasizes shared
goals and knowledge, timely
communication, and mutual respect
among all caregiving members
including the family. The relational
coordination concept is useful to
consider where complex systems
must function consistently and
reliably at all times. Health care
systems, with their inherent cultures,
often fail to demonstrate these
cross-organizational principles. 34
Organizational emphasis on shared
goals, timely communication, and
mutual respect as driving principles
improve patient care and
positively impact the implementation
of care coordination for CMC.

A major challenge to implementation
of care coordination can be a lack
of coordination among multiple
coordinating efforts targeting
different types of services that a
child needs. A promising practice is
having a designated lead or locus of
coordination, preferably within the
child’s medical home, where medical
complexity is recognized. For CMC,
the most useful function of such
high-level care coordination shifts
from coordinating distinct activities
and tasks to coordinating across the
multiple health and service providers
who perform these activities. This
approach is in line with the concept
of relational coordination, in which
shared goals and knowledge, timely
communication, and mutual respect
are paramount. 33 For CMC, additional
consideration may be given to
multidisciplinary training that
enables navigation through different
medical, mental health, and social
service spheres.

Care coordination works best
with a partnership approach that
emphasizes growth, development,
and wellness. Families can best
articulate the strengths of their child
and family and the challenges faced,
and identify and prioritize their
particular care coordination needs.

Structured assessments, including
semistructured conversations or
interviews, help all to understand
what matters most to families, but
these are often not well used by
health care systems.

Care coordination may facilitate
a care planning process using an
explicit workflow and supportive
tools. The authors of the recent
report “Achieving a Shared Plan of
Care with Children and Families
with Special Health Care Needs” 17
describe the processes by which
health care teams and families
develop a shared, written plan of
care, conducted with the support
of a high-level, team-based care
coordinator. The shared plan of care
is created when the coordinating
team and family blend medical
summary data with negotiated
actions, specifically family goals and
team concerns, merging them into
a strategic plan of actions to use
and follow. The shared plan of care
outlines and guides subsequent
care coordination activities to be
achieved in partnership with
families over time.

Insufficient understanding and
experience remain about optimal
implementation of care coordination.
Available time remains a key factor,
particularly for primary care and
specialty providers alike who largely
remain tied to volume-driven
payments. Practice transformation
(systematic changes in organization
and processes within the primary
care–based medical home) supports
team-based care and staffing models
that are not tied to service volume,
and thus may be foundational for
effective care coordination and
care planning. Components of
practice transformation include
defined patient panels for each
clinician, team-based care, 35 family
partnerships, and continuous quality
improvement processes. 36, 37 Berry 38
has called for studies to identify best
practices and dissemination of care
coordination implementation. Data
from such studies, informed strongly by family input as research and clinical partners, may help inform the selection of a small number of refined approaches that may be scaled up quickly. Development, testing, and stabilization of quality care coordination is taking center stage within health system transformation efforts. Implementation and sustainability of these efforts will require robust evaluation and subsequent refinement, with continuous quality improvement methodology, to promote the integration of evidence into health care policy and practice.

Care coordination needs of CMC evolve over time. For example, an infant with intractable epilepsy may initially attend multiple neurology and primary care appointments and receive early intervention services at home. Intermittent hospitalizations may involve more specialists and medications and subsequently a corrective surgery that creates global functioning deficits, requiring additional detailed care integration with transition from hospital to home. Transition to public school from early intervention programs requires initiation of school-based therapies and outpatient rehabilitation, adding additional layers of formalized services. Further growth and emerging adolescence may require endocrine, orthopedic surgery, home nursing services, mental health services, and planning for transition to the adult health care system. Care coordination with CMC requires structured clarity while also being flexible, adaptive, and capable of addressing the lived experiences of the child and family through changing spheres; the psychosocial determinants of health particularly add to the complexity of care.

Primary care remains largely tied to volume-driven payments that do not adequately support non–face-to-face time during which many care coordination activities occur. Recent movement toward alternative payment models (eg, value-based payments, population health, bundled per member per month models) offers opportunities to redefine how care coordination and planning are supported for CMC. Alternative models provide payments that, instead of rewarding numerous in-person encounters, are linked to patient panel size, quality metrics, or shared savings, incentivizing preventive and proactive health care planning. Payments may be provided independent of an in-person encounter, depending on the amount of time a patient is assigned to a panel (eg, “per member per month”). Decoupling of payments from in-person encounters provides flexibility in how revenue is applied toward personnel time as well as office overhead for personnel. Such payments, if sufficient to truly enable change, may be applied to support care coordination activities in clinical practices, with the intent to reduce undesired, preventable encounters linked with high cost. Risk stratification with acceptance of financial risk for CMC may further support dedicated care coordination services at the accountable care organization level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Care coordination</td>
<td>Patient and/or family (as partners)</td>
<td>Family-centered, assessment-driven, and team-based activity addressing comprehensive needs with families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care integration</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>Seamless provision of health care services across the care continuum, the result of care coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case management</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>Management of specific disease or process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care management</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>Organization of population-level services, including risk stratification, service allocation, and care coordination activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care planning</td>
<td>Patient and/or family</td>
<td>Strategic steps to take as a function of care coordination, best coproduced and recorded in writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care mapping</td>
<td>Patient and/or family</td>
<td>Visual, detailed account of patient and family needs and strengths, demonstrating interactive relationships among team members and resources needed for effective, family-centered care for CMC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PAYMENT**

Payment for care coordination and planning should support, at a minimum, personnel time for teamwork and communication. Historically, care coordination in the primary care setting has not been adequately financed in most payer models. In the traditional fee-for-service system, payments reward in-person encounters and face-to-face time, with higher payments linked to billing codes that may reflect diagnostic complexity, management complexity, and time spent. In contrast, care coordination activities may often be delivered without the patient and family being physically present. Care management fees, linked to appropriate billing codes, are designed to address non–face-to-face time that characterizes care coordination. Care management fees may be linked to reported time spent on the non–face-to-face time, but payment for other billing codes such as care plan oversight is variable and often underused.
The amount of payment necessary to support care coordination and planning for CMC, with a return on investment for high resource users, is unknown. The adequacy of payments linked to quality metrics, value-based care, or panel sizes depend on accurate predictions of overhead costs and projected appropriate resource usage. Appropriate risk stratification can identify children who have higher levels of medical and/or social complexity and accompanying need for more intensive support services. Predictive algorithms used by payers are often based on billing claims history. Billing claims may be insufficient to accurately describe care complexity, social determinants of health, and future health care needs. It is imperative that payers work with providers to construct appropriate payment models with adequate levels of financial support to maintain, support, and evaluate care coordination services.

A fully capititated, at-risk payment model is often considered the final step in payment transformation, because payments are linked directly to population numbers and not service volume. The payment model requires the creation of large patient networks that are able to spread financial risk among multiple providers. A payer with a large patient and panel network may also opt to employ its own care coordination services located external to individual practices, either within the payer organization or under a contract with a separate agency. In such cases, the care coordination services may be provided free of charge to the provider and family. The challenge reverts to relational coordination, particularly adequate integration of care coordination and care planning with families, and among primary care, specialty care, and community partners (the care map).

**CULTURE CHANGE**

Health care delivery has traditionally been focused on biological approaches to ameliorating illness rather than addressing the social determinants of health and optimal functioning in the context of one’s community. Similarly, the scope of care coordination as seen by its participants (families, coordinators, and service providers) varies widely across populations. Coordinators historically focusing on case management may define their duties as narrowly related to management of a specific health condition. Others may have worked in an environment with a social service focus in which community service coordination has been emphasized over more technical coordination and management of equipment and services. Comparatively few programs document experiences spanning the full spectrum of care coordination with ongoing learning, reassessment, and adjustments characteristic of implementation science. Families and clinicians report a range of care experiences with designated care coordinators as part of their work.

A comprehensive approach to care coordination requires a cultural shift to embrace the full spectrum of health and its determinants, including social determinants of health. Such a shift may encompass structured routine screening and referral for social determinants, care coordinator training that extends across the medical and social service sectors, and collaborative care planning that may encompass finances, employment, housing, food security, transportation, and other social determinants. Highly competent care coordination, with fidelity to a best practice definition, embraces such culture change to ensure a common understanding and adequate care planning among patients, families, care coordinators and care providers, and payers.

Education about strengths of and resources available to each team member, followed by detailed integration of tasks into shared plans of care, may lead to relational coordination that could potentially produce efficient, effective resource use while meeting family needs. Little has been published about implementation of these processes, but this knowledge is critical to help practices, care coordination entities, and payers design comprehensive learning systems of care coordination for CMC.

**CONCLUSIONS**

CMC often experience fragmented care. Care coordination is a team-driven activity that is foundational to fostering care integration, the desired outcome for CMC. Care coordination is fundamentally patient- and family-centered and requires a partnership approach that supports proactive planning of care and encompasses social determinants of health. Infrastructure capacity building, design and implementation leadership, use of tools and training modules, and appropriate resource allocation among populations are foundational for success. Payment reform models may increasingly support authentic care coordination activities, with its locus of implementation ranging from direct provision in the primary care setting, to specialty practices, to external organizations such as hospitals and accountable care organizations. Effective relational coordination among multiple entities is essential for optimal outcomes for CMC.

CMC are increasingly being identified as a priority population under population health-based strategies and thus may merit dedicated care coordination efforts. Leadership entities will need to understand the components of care coordination, the relationships among its components, and underlying infrastructure needs.
such as practice transformation and available tools and assessments. Care mapping can elucidate the dozens of care components concurrently impacting the health of the child and family. A patient- and family-centered focus enables care coordination to develop holistic care processes, emphasize family-centered goals and partnership, and support self-management while integrating care components. Optimally designed and delivered, care coordination for CMC provides the infrastructure (or capacity) and the tools to support effective, integrated care for CMC and their families.

**ABBREVIATION**

CMC: children with medical complexity
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